



About the Mitigation Resource Guide

PURPOSE OF THE GUIDE

A goal of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region II Office is to advance mitigation action across the Region's States and Territories. Advancing mitigation action is not easy and requires significant, varying resources. These resources may include grants, loans, technical assistance, and in-kind services, among others. Recognizing the many funding programs that exist across Federal departments and agencies, as well as non-profits, FEMA developed this guide to compile this information and make it accessible to those who want to advance mitigation action in their communities.

The purpose of this Mitigation Funding Resource Guide is to provide the U.S. Virgin Islands and local officials with a spectrum of potential mitigation funding sources. This guide will assist in determining the best source(s) of funding and technical assistance for potential mitigation projects. For more information on available grants, visit www.grants.gov.

HOW TO USE THE GUIDE

This guide provides Federal and Territory resources available in FEMA Region II. Each resource has its own page that provides information about the program, eligibility requirements, cost sharing, and an example of the program's use if possible. The guide identifies the type of mitigation action that is best suited to the resource. The mitigation action categories are: (1) Local Planning and Regulations, (2) Structure and Infrastructure Projects, (3) Natural Systems Protection, and (4) Education and Awareness Programs. However, the resource may be used for other types of mitigation action and the guide is intended to help users find the resource(s) that best suit their needs. You can also search the guide by agency or organization, the National Mitigation Framework (NMF) core capability, or the National Disaster Recovery Framework (NDRF) support function. This guide is envisioned as a living document to be updated annually so that it reflects program changes, additions, or removals as needed.

NATIONAL MITIGATION FRAMEWORK

The NMF provides context for how the whole community can work together to create a culture of preparedness. It describes mitigation core capabilities that are needed to work toward a secure and resilient Nation. This guide aligns resources with the seven core capabilities:



Threats and Hazard Identification:

Build cooperation between private and public sectors by protecting internal interests but sharing threats and hazard identification resources and benefits.



Risk and Disaster Resilience Assessment:

Perform credible risk assessments using scientifically valid and widely used risk assessment techniques.



Planning:

Incorporate the findings from assessment of risk and disaster resilience into the planning process.



Community Resilience:

Recognize the interdependent nature of the economy, health and social services, housing infrastructure, and natural and cultural resources within a community.



Public Information and Warning:

Target messages to reach organizations representing children, individuals with disabilities or access and functional needs, diverse communities, and people with limited English proficiency.



Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction:

Adopt and enforce a suitable building code to ensure resilient construction.



Operational Coordination:

Capitalize on opportunities for mitigation actions following disasters and incidents.



NATIONAL DISASTER RECOVERY FRAMEWORK

The NDRF is a flexible guide for disaster recovery managers operating and collaborating to restore, redevelop, and revitalize the health, social, economic, natural, and environmental fabric of the community. The guide identifies each resource's primary recovery support function, which was established under the NDRF. The support functions are aimed at restoration and revitalization. Detailed descriptions of each grant program are also provided, including information about the program, eligibility requirements, and cost sharing.

The guide includes these six support functions:



Community Planning and Capacity Building:

support increases community recovery capacity and builds community planning resources needed to effectively plan for, manage, and implement disaster recovery activities.



Economic Recovery:

support focuses on sustaining and/or rebuilding businesses, employment, and tourism as well as the development of economic opportunities that result in sustainable and economically resilient communities.



Health and Social Services:

support assists in the restoration of public health, health care, and social services networks to promote the resilience, health, and well-being of affected individuals and communities.



Housing:

support addresses post-disaster housing issues and coordinates the delivery of assistance, resources, and activities to rehabilitate and reconstruct destroyed and damaged housing, when feasible, as well as the development of accessible temporary and permanent housing.



Infrastructure Systems:

support facilitates efforts by infrastructure owners to achieve recovery goals relating to public engineering of these systems. Services should be restored to support a viable, sustainable community and improve resilience to and protection from future hazards.



Natural and Cultural Resources:

support addresses long-term environmental and cultural resource recovery needs. This includes the protection of these resources and historic properties through response and recovery actions to preserve, conserve, rehabilitate, and restore them in a way that is consistent with community priorities and in compliance with applicable laws.

QUESTIONS?

Please contact FEMA Region II Mitigation Planning at FEMA-R2-MT-Planning@fema.dhs.gov.



Table of Contents

Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)
USDA Disaster Assistance Programs

Forest Legacy Program (FLP)
Forest Stewardship Program

Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP)

Emergency Watershed Protection Program (EWPP)

Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)

Wetland Mitigation Banking Program Housing Preservation Grants (HPGs)

Water and Environmental Programs (WEPs)

EDA Disaster Recovery

EDA Disaster Supplemental Funding

Coastal and Marine Habitat Restoration Grants

Disaster Loan Assistance

Continuing Authorities Program (CAP)

Ecosystem Restoration
Emergency Operations

Floodplain Management Services (FPMS) Program

Inspection of Completed Works Program

Silver Jackets

Small Flood Control

Our Town Grant

Smart Growth Support

Brownfields Program Grant Funding

Clean Water State Revolving Loan Fund (CWSRF)

Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF)

Environmental Finance Centers (EFCs)

Environmental Justice, Grants, and Technical Assistance

Greening America's Communities

Nonpoint Source Water Quality (Section 319) Grants

Urban Waters Small Grants

Water Infrastructure and Resiliency Finance Center

Wetlands Protection Development Grants (WPDGs)

Section 404 of the Clean Water Act - Compensatory

Mitigation

Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPGs)

National Dam Safety Program (NDSP)

Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Program

Public Assistance (PA) 404 and 406 program

Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program

Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)

Community Development Block Grant - Mitigation

Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery

Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program

National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grants (NCWCGs)

North American Wetland Conservation Fund

Disaster Recovery Grants
Emergency Relief Program

Disaster Assistance and Emergency Relief for Individuals

and Businesses

Enterprise Zone Tax Incentives
Intermediary Relending Program
Development Loan Program

Five Star and Urban Waters Restoration Grant Program

National Coastal Resilience Fund Resilient Communities Program

Climate Adaptation Knowledge Exchange

EIP

Firewise

Climate Adaptation Fund Adaptation Clearinghouse

USVI Strong

Building Forward Matching Grants

CARES Act Emergency Relief Grants for Humanities

Virgin Islands Marine Rebuild Fund

Youth Ocean Explorers

STAR

Community Planning Assistance Teams



1 Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	Eros	ion, Flood	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		United States Departmer	t of Agriculture (USDA) Farm Se	rvice Agency (FSA)	
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	ty	Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function	_	Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants		Generally, to be eligible for CRP enrollment, a farmer must have owned or operated the land for at least 12 months prior to the previous CRP sign-up period. The land must be either cropland (including field margins) that was planted to an agricultural commodity for 4 of the previous 6 crop years, and which is physically and legally capable of being planted in a normal manner to an agricultural commodity; or certain marginal pastureland that is suitable for use as a riparian buffer or for similar water quality purposes.			
Restrictions		Unknown			
Amount Available		Variable annually			
Cost Sharing		The rental payment does not require a cost share.			
Website		https://www.fsa.usda.gov reserve-program/index	/programs-and-services/conserva	ation-programs/conservation-	

The CRP is a voluntary program for agricultural landowners to receive rental payments when they convert marginal farmlands to vegetated cover. Participants enroll in CRP contracts for 10 to 15 years. This reduces soil erosion, supports farmers, reduces sedimentation and pollution in water sources, and establishes wildlife habitat. The wetland enhancement, shoreline protection, and riparian buffer practices enhance resilience by reducing downstream flooding during storm events.

One effort of the CRP is the Floodplain Wetlands Initiative, which restores the functions and values of wetland ecosystems that had been devoted to agricultural use. Wetland restoration reverses the degradation of the wetland areas on what is often marginal soil. For farmers and landowners facing crop damage from flooding, restoring wetlands to receive floodwaters can also increase flood storage capacity – while significantly reducing farming risks.

Case Study or Example

The Oman Family Farm in Delaware County, Ohio, is a CRP success story. The Omans' farm started as a livestock and crop production farm in 1948. With the help of CRP, today the 205-acre farm consists of 148 acres of prairie grasses and 17 acres of cropland. In 1993, 67 acres were enrolled in the CRP. In addition to the conservation cover, a shallow water area and food plots were developed.

CRP has helped prevent gully problems and keep the soil from eroding into the Olentangy River. Encouraged by the benefits of CRP, the Omans have decided to plant additional acres in prairie grasses and to develop more shallow water areas for wildlife and wetlands on the farm. With USDA support, the Omans have worked with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) and Pheasants Forever to improve and increase the farm's conservation benefits.

Today the farm is wildlife oriented and home to the Lone Oak Farm Hunting Preserve for pheasants. The farm is licensed through the ODNR to raise Ring-Necked Pheasants. CRP has added beauty and recreational value to the farm. Due to the enhanced wildlife habitat, Bald Eagles and Short-Eared Owls have been spotted on the farm.

The benefits of CRP on the Oman farm have extended to the community as well. Two local colleges have used the farm for courses due to the enhanced wildlife habitat. Local Cub Scouts use the farm for tree-planting projects and to observe the prairie grasses. Each year, the Omans, with the help from Pheasants Forever, host a hunter's education course and a youth hunt.

The original CRP contract expired after a 1-year extension in 2004; however, the CRP conservation cover has been maintained. The farm is working with USDA to add warm-season grass filter strips, hardwood tree riparian buffers, and a wetland restoration



U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS

project under the new Scioto River Watershed Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program in Ohio. CRP has helped the Oman Family Farm conserve privately owned farmland.

This story was abridged from the "Erosion Success Encourages Family to Install Wildlife Habitat" FSA news release (https://www.fsa.usda.gov/FSA/printapp?fileName=ss_oh_artid_649.html&newsType=crpsuccessstories)

Next Steps



2 USDA Disaster Assistance Programs					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		USDA FSA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	otection		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			Community Resilience		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	_	Natural and Cultural Res	ources		
Eligible Applicants		Unknown			
Restrictions		Unknown			
Amount Available		Variable			
Cost Sharing		Variable			
Website		https://www.fsa.usda.gov	https://www.fsa.usda.gov/programs-and-services/disaster-assistance-program/index		

The FSA provides several disaster assistance programs. These include the Emergency Conservation Program (ECP), Emergency Forest Restoration Program, Emergency Loan Program, and Tree Assistance Program, as well as a mix of other programs aimed at specific agricultural sectors. While focused on recovery, many of these programs provide funding for conservation practices that enhance resilience.

Case Study or Example

When the Missouri River floodwaters receded in Charles Mix County, South Dakota, in fall 2011, portions of the river bottom cropland were covered with 1 to 6 feet of sand debris. The ECP for debris removal was one tool used in this restoration effort.

Next Steps



Forest Legacy Program (FLP)					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Other- Purchase	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		USDA Forest Service			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	ty	Long-Term Vulnerability	Reduction	tion	
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function		Natural and Cultural Res	ural and Cultural Resources		
Eligible Applicants		A proposed project is eligible if it meets federal requirements as well as minimum eligibility: it is within, or partially within, a designated forest legacy area; it has a minimum of 75% forestland or a documented plan that includes sufficient landowner capacity to reforest to at least 75% forestland; it can be managed in ways consistent with the purpose for which it was acquired by FLP; the landowner is willing to sell or donate the interest in perpetuity; and the landowner acknowledges that the conservation easement will be held by a government entity if federal funds are used for the acquisition.			
Restrictions		Unknown			
Amount Available		States can submit up to t individual projects.	hree projects which cannot excee	ed \$10 million, or \$7 million for	
Cost Sharing		At least 25% of the total project cost			
Website		https://www.fs.fed.us/ma	naging-land/private-land/forest-le	gacy/program	

The purpose of the FLP is to identify and conserve environmentally important forest areas that are threatened by conversion to nonforest uses. Providing economic incentives to landowners to keep their land as forests encourages sustainable forest management and supports strong markets for forest products. Forest protection can be a valuable tool for natural resource conservation and can reduce flooding and hazard risk downstream within a watershed.

Landowners may participate in the Forest Legacy Program by either selling their property outright or by retaining ownership and selling only a portion of the property's development rights; both are held by state agencies or another unit of government. The use of a conservation easement, a legal agreement between a landowner and a non-profit land trust or governmental agency allows the land to remain in private ownership while ensuring that its environmental values are retained.

Case Study or Example

A 2018 FLP grant allowed The Trust for Public Land, Green Diamond Resource Company, and Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to protect 7,391 acres of working forestland in Mason County, WA, at the southwestern end of Puget Sound

This land, on the Olympic Peninsula between Hood Canal and Case Inlet, will remain in active timber production while protecting water quality and wildlife habitat. It will also be available to the public for hiking, mountain biking, and other outdoor recreation. Green Diamond Resource Company, a Washington-based, privately held forest products company, owns the land. Green Diamond will continue to manage it under a conservation easement that guarantees the land will never be developed and will always be open for public recreation. Green Diamond's lands in Washington State are managed under a Habitat Conservation Plan to protect 51 aquatic and terrestrial species while allowing for forest management.

The conservation easement was appraised and purchased for \$6.634 million. Funding for the purchase came entirely from the USDA Forest Service's FLP, which is specifically designed to protect environmentally sensitive forestlands that are threatened by conversion to non-forest uses. The easement will be held by DNR, which will be responsible for long-term monitoring and enforcement of its restrictions.



U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS

The water quality benefits of the project also serve as flood mitigation, as reduced runoff yields both better water quality and reduced flooding.

This case study is an excerpt from:

https://www.dnr.wa.gov/news/more-7000-acres-forest-land-protected-puget-sound

Next Steps



4 Forest Stewardship Program					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		USDA Forest Service			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	ection		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability	ability Long-Term Vulnerability		Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	_	Community Planning and	d Capacity Building		
Eligible Applicants		Non-industrial private for	est landowners		
Restrictions		Unknown			
Amount Available		Variable			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.fs.fed.us/mai	naging-land/private-land/forest-	stewardship/	

The Forest Stewardship Program encourages long-term stewardship of important state and private forest landscapes by helping landowners more actively manage their forest and related resources. Protected forests can reduce flooding and hazard risk downstream within a watershed, and well-managed forests can reduce wildfire risk. The program provides assistance to owners of forest land and other lands where good stewardship, including agroforestry applications, will enhance and sustain the long-term productivity of multiple forest resources, and produce healthy, resilient forest landscapes. Special attention is given to landowners in landscape areas identified by State Forest Action Plans and those new to, or in the early stages of, managing their land in a way that embodies multi-resource stewardship principles. The program provides landowners with the professional planning and technical assistance they need to keep their land in a productive and healthy condition. Assistance offered through the Forest Stewardship Program also provides landowners with enhanced access to other USDA conservation programs, forest certification programs, and forest product and ecosystem service markets.

Case Study or Example

Through the Forest Stewardship Program, the Forest Service helped Sandra Cummings, a landowner in Georgia, fund a forest management and stewardship plan created by the Georgia Forestry Commission, which laid out a 10-year plan for managing the land

Under the plan's guidance, Mrs. Cummings now uses the land in Madison for timber management, grazing for cattle, and wildlife habitat. She manages her land in the town of Portal for forestry, wildlife, silvopasture (with assistance from the NRCS), and a pine straw operation. As a result, she obtains additional earnings from her land by leasing it out for deer hunting and harvesting pine straw

While this is not necessarily a mitigation story, open space and well-managed lands can be effective tools in preventing the worst impacts of hazards.

This case study is an excerpt from: https://www.usda.gov/media/blog/2017/08/10/forest-stewardship-keeps-georgia-grandmother-independent.

Next Steps



5	Con	servation Stev	vardship Progra	m (CSP)	
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant, Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		USDA Natural Resources	s Conservation Service (NRCS	<u>;)</u>	
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	у	Community Resilience			
	lational Disaster Recovery ramework Support Function		Natural and Cultural Resources		
Eligible Applicants	Applicants may include individu stewardship threshold for at lea must also agree to meet or exc priority resource concern by the		at least two priority resource or exceed the stewardship thre		
Restrictions		Unknown			
Amount Available		Payment rates vary by state and territory			
Cost Sharing		Farmers receive a payment for conservation practices.			
Website		https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/national/programs/financial/csp/			

The CSP helps agricultural producers maintain and improve their existing conservation systems and adopt additional conservation activities to address priority resource concerns. CSP pays participants for conservation performance—the higher the performance, the higher the payment. Many conservation practices (riparian forest buffers, cover crops, etc.) reduce runoff and erosion, reducing flooding downstream.

CSP provides two possible types of payments through 5-year contracts: annual payments for installing new conservation activities and maintaining existing practices, and supplemental payments for adopting a resource-conserving crop rotation.

Case Study or Example

Judd Brooke owned Brookewood Farm, a 4,500 acre piece of land in Hancock County, Mississippi, the state's southernmost county and the hardest hit by Hurricane Katrina. Brooke applied for the CSP to help reestablish the woodlands that had been destroyed.

One of the CSP enhancements Brooke chose was to adopt understory management to restore 20 acres of longleaf pine habitat in an area that was overgrown. Prescribed burning wasn't an option because the fire would get too hot and damage the trees. The best option for this situation was for Brooke to use a mulcher attached to a skid steer. He completed the 20 acres and was so pleased with the results, he kept going and cleaned up other areas of his property in the same way.

This case study is an excerpt from:

 $https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/PA_NRCSConsumption/download?cid=nrcseprd1292064\&ext=pdf.$

Next Steps



6 Emergency Watershed Protection Program (EWPP)					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant, Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		USDA NRCS			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit		Long-Term Vulnerability	Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Natural and Cultural Res			
Eligible Applicants		EWPP - Recovery: Applicants may include individuals, legal entities, joint operations, or tribes that meet the stewardship threshold for at least two priority resource concerns when they apply. They must also agree to meet or exceed the stewardship threshold for at least one additional priority resource concern by the end of the contract. Producers must have effective control of the land for the term of the proposed contract. EWPP - Floodplain Easements: Landowners can apply directly for assistance at the local NRCS office when project funding for floodplain easements become available.			
Restrictions	 for projects' operation and me to repair private or public trar to install non-essential restor from the natural disaster; or 		at existed prior to the disaster; protection above the existing level and maintenance; to transportation facilities or utilitie restoration work that will not reduce	es;	
Amount Available		Variable			
Cost Sharing	ost Sharing sponsors must acquire t		% of the cost for eligible emergen ne remaining 25% in cash or in-ki ding of floodplain easement proje	nd services. Contact NRCS for	
Website		https://www.nrcs.usda.go	ov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/national/p	rograms/landscape/ewpp/	

Congress established the EWPP to respond to emergencies created by natural disasters. The EWPP offers technical and financial assistance to help local communities mitigate imminent hazards to life and property caused by floods, fires, windstorms, and other natural occurrences that impair a watershed. The NRCS administers the EWPP, which consists of two options: EWP – Recovery, and EWP – Floodplain Easement (FPE).

NRCS offers financial and technical assistance for various activities under EWPP – Recovery, including:

- removing debris from stream channels, road culverts, and bridges;
- reshaping and protecting eroded streambanks;
- correcting damaged or destroyed drainage facilities;
- establishing vegetative cover on critically eroding lands;
- repairing levees and structures; and
- repairing conservation practices.



In addition to recovery projects, NRCS may purchase EWPP floodplain easements instead of trying to recover damaged floodplain lands if it proves to be more cost-effective than recovery.

Case Study or Example

The Colorado EWPP program for the 2013 Flood Recovery funds emergency recovery measures to address hazards to life and property in watersheds impaired by the 2013 Colorado flood events. The program is funded and administered by the NRCS and managed by the Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) on behalf of the state.

Projects reduce erosion, protect streambanks, repair conservation practices, remove debris, and more.

Technical assistance funds are available to support project design, cultural resources assessment, construction oversight, and other technical services. Financial assistance funds are available for project construction.

In most of the flood-affected areas, watershed coalitions composed of public, private, and nonprofit stakeholders are charged with coordinating the identification, prioritization, and implementation of EWP projects.

This case study is an excerpt from https://www.coloradoewp.com/2013-colorado-ewp-program.

Next Steps



7 Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant, Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		USDA NRCS			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	y	Long-Term Vulnerability	Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function	-	Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants		Agricultural producers, owners of non-industrial private forestland, and tribes are eligible to apply for EQIP. Eligible land includes cropland, rangeland, pastureland, non-industrial private forestland, and other farm or ranch lands. Socially disadvantaged, beginning and limited resource farmers, tribes, and veterans are eligible for an increased payment rate and may receive an advance payment of up to 50% to purchase the materials and services needed to implement the conservation practices included in their EQIP contract.			
Restrictions		Applicants must: - Control or own eligible land - Comply with adjusted gross income limitation (AGI) provisions - Comply with the highly erodible land and wetland conservation requirements. - Develop an NRCS EQIP plan of operations Additional restrictions and program requirements may apply.			
Amount Available		Varies by state			
Cost Sharing		75% federal, 90% in certain cases (socially disadvantaged, veterans, beginning farmers etc.)			
Website		https://www.nrcs.usda.gc	v/wps/portal/nrcs/main/national/p	programs/financial/eqip/	

The EQIP provides financial and technical assistance to agricultural producers to address natural resource concerns and deliver environmental benefits such as improved water and air quality, conserved ground and surface water, reduced soil erosion and sedimentation, or improved or created wildlife habitat.

While not designed to be an emergency response or hazard mitigation program, EQIP can play a vital role in helping producers prevent the worst impacts of and recover from natural disasters like floods, hurricanes, wildfires, and drought. Through EQIP, NRCS provides financial assistance to repair and prevent the excessive soil erosion caused or affected by natural disasters. These practices include activities like stream bank restoration, grassed waterways, and buffers. NRCS-funded conservation practices protect land from erosion, support disaster recovery and repair, and can help mitigate loss from future natural disasters.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps



8 Wetland Mitigation Banking Program					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	Floo	d	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		USDA NRCS			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	:y	Community Resilience			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function		Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants		Federally recognized Native American tribal governments, States, territories, and local units of government, for-profit entities, nonprofits with or without a 501(c)(3) status with the IRS other than institutions of higher education, private institutions of higher education, and public and State controlled institutions of higher education.			
Restrictions		Columbia, the Caribbean Islands Area (Guam, Am Islands). Priority will be g numbers of wetland compute following States will refollowed.	oted from eligible entities in any of Area (Puerto Rico and the U.S.) erican Samoa, and the Commony iven to banks located in geograph oliance producer requests. Based eceive priority consideration: Geo braska, Ohio, South Dakota, and	Virgin Islands), and the Pacific wealth of the Northern Mariana hic areas with the largest I on NRCS data, proposals from orgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa,	
Amount Available		Estimated Total Program Funding: \$5 million. Award Ceiling: \$1 million. Award Floor: \$100,000 (2020 NOFO)			
Cost Sharing		Recipients must provide a non-Federal funding match amount at least equal to 25% of the Federal funding request. Can be a combination of cash and in-kind contributions. Matching funds must be committed at the time of application submission.			
Website		https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/programs/farmbill/?cid=nrcseprd 362686			

The Wetlands Mitigation Banking Program helps establish wetland mitigation banks to help producers meet their wetland conservation compliance responsibilities. Producers must comply to maintain eligibility for many USDA programs administered by the FSA and NRCS, and to maintain eligibility for the federal crop insurance premium subsidy administered by the Risk Management Agency.

This program assists with establishing the banks, not with securing the wetlands.

Case Study or Example

In 2016, Iowa Agricultural Mitigation, Inc. was granted \$698,000 to develop a new wetland mitigation bank for the Prairie Pothole Region of western Iowa. NRCS reported: "Iowa Agricultural Mitigation, Inc. has been operating a successful agricultural mitigation bank for several years. This bank will be located in the Prairie Pothole Region of western Iowa, where demand for wetland credits is high. The Iowa Natural Heritage Foundation will assist the bank manager with marketing its program as well as finding bank sites."

The Iowa Agricultural Mitigation Bank (IAMB) is a non-profit entity created to provide wetland mitigation services to producers within targeted watersheds of the Prairie Pothole Region of north-central Iowa. IAMB is providing producers with an alternative to replace lost acres and functions of converted, farmed wetlands on agricultural lands.

Kirby Roberts farmed a large area of wet cropland for many years. He struggled to establish corn and soybean crops because of persistently wet soils. By enrolling these acres into the IAMB, and restoring the wet cropland to a semi-permanent wetland, Roberts created a high functioning wetland bank site, which provided mitigation credits for agricultural producers.

Next Steps

Applicants should visit the program website for more information as well as the most recent Notice of Funding Opportunity. Applications should be submitted at https://www.grants.gov/



9 Housing Preservation Grants (HPGs)					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		USDA Rural Developmer	nt		
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructure Projects			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Housing			
Eligible Applicants		State, territory, tribal, and local governments, and nonprofit organizations. Eligible areas include rural towns with 20,000 or fewer people, and federally recognized tribal lands.			
Restrictions	Restrictions		Applicants must have the necessary background and experience, with a proven ability to perform the repair and rehabilitation of low-income housing.		
Amount Available		Unknown			
Cost Sharing Up to 100% federal					
Website		https://www.rd.usda.gov/	programs-services/housing-pres	ervation-grants	

The HPG program provides grants to sponsoring organizations for the repair or rehabilitation of low- and very low-income housing. The grants are competitive and are made available in areas where there is a concentration of need. Those assisted must own very-low- or low-income housing, either as homeowners, landlords, or members of a cooperative. Very low income is defined as below 50% of the area median income (AMI); low income is between 50 and 80% of AMI.

The funds can be used for:

- Applicants provide grants or low-interest loans to repair or rehabilitate housing for low- and very-low-income (1) homeowners
- Rental property owners may also receive assistance if they agree to make units available to low- and very-low-income (1) families
- Eligible expenses include:
 - · Repairing or replacing electrical wiring, foundations, roofs, insulation, heating systems, and water/waste disposal systems
 - · Handicap accessibility features
 - · Labor and materials
 - · Administrative expenses

Eligible homeowners can apply for funding to repair damages caused by natural hazards or to harden their structures against future hazard events.

Case Study or Example

Every time the south winds blew through the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR), Mary and Abbie Van Pelt had problems with their roof. Each time, Abbie would climb on the roof to try to fix it. When CTUIR received an HPG from USDA Rural Development, the tribe's Housing Department began offering up to \$6,000 per household to help tribal elders address health and safety hazards in their homes. The Van Pelts heard about the grants and decided to apply. The shingles on the Van Pelts' roof have now been replaced. Their home's septic system was repaired as well, and they had just enough funding left to replace failing light fixtures and the smoke alarms. The Van Pelts aren't alone. In all, 29 families on the reservation are making critical repairs to their homes with the help of this and one previous USDA grant. By eliminating these safety issues, tribal elders can age in place, remaining in the homes they have known for many years.

This case study is an excerpt from: https://www.rd.usda.gov/newsroom/success-stories/repairs-improve-safety-Tribal-elders%E2%80%99-homes.



Next Steps



10 Water and Environmental Programs (WEPs)					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant, Technical Assistance	
Hazard	Floo	d	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		USDA Rural Developme	nt		
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructure Projects			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function		Infrastructure Systems			
Eligible Applicants			but most programs are open to ederally recognized tribes and		
Restrictions		Variable			
Amount Available		Variable			
Cost Sharing		Variable			
Website		https://www.rd.usda.gov/	programs-services/all-program	s/water-environmental-programs	

The USDA's Rural Development WEP provide technical assistance, loans, grants, and loan guarantees for drinking water, sanitary sewer, solid waste, and storm drainage facilities in rural areas and cities and towns of 10,000 or less. WEP also makes grants to non-profit organizations to provide technical assistance and training to help rural communities with their water, wastewater, and solid waste problems. Water quality projects often meet flood mitigation goals and vice versa.

WEP includes Emergency Community Water Assistance Grants, Grants for Rural and Native Alaskan Villages, Water & Waste Disposal Loans & Grants, and more.

Case Study or Example

The Forest Park Tenants' Association Cooperative in Jaffrey, New Hampshire will draw on the Water & Waste Disposal Loan & Grant Program for a \$2 million loan and a \$1.4 million grant to make water, wastewater, and stormwater improvements at a 116-unit housing park. The current system no longer works properly, causing water loss and frequent sewage line blockages. All water mains and a section of the sewer main will be replaced, and a stormwater management system will be installed. The project also includes roadway improvements that will be funded through a Community Development Block Grant. (Source: WBIW.com, 11/19/2019)

Next Steps



11 EDA Disaster Recovery					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		Department of Commerc	e Economic Development Admir	nistration (EDA)	
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ture Projects, Education and Outreach Programs		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	У	Operational Coordination	1		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Economic Recovery			
Eligible Applicants		Varies by disaster			
Restrictions		Varies by disaster			
Amount Available		Varies by disaster. \$587	million was available in FY 2019		
Cost Sharing		Up to 80% federal			
Website		https://www.eda.gov/prog	grams/disaster-recovery/		

The EDA has a long history of successfully supporting disaster recovery and resiliency efforts. EDA's role in disaster recovery is to facilitate the timely and effective delivery of federal economic development assistance to support long-term community economic recovery planning and project implementation, redevelopment, and resiliency. EDA is uniquely positioned to coordinate regional disaster recovery efforts in partnership with its extensive network of Economic Development Districts (EDDs), University Centers, institutions of higher education, and other partners in designated impact areas.

Case Study or Example

The city of Viroqua, WI received a \$2.6 million grant in 2019 to update critical water infrastructure needed to protect local businesses from flooding. The project will help expand Viroqua's industrial park and help provide necessary improvements to the community's aging water infrastructure. In addition to flood protection, the project is expected to create or retain jobs and spur private investment.

This case study is an excerpt from: https://www.eda.gov/news/press-releases/2019/04/04/viroqua-wi.htm.

Next Steps

More information can be found at: https://www.usvieda.org/resources/hurricane-disaster-recovery



12 EDA Disaster Supplemental Funding					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		Department of Commerce	e EDA		
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ıre Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability			Operational Coordination		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	_	Economic Recovery			
Eligible Applicants		Varies by disaster			
Restrictions		Varies by disaster			
Amount Available		Varies by disaster			
Cost Sharing		Varies by disaster			
Website		https://www.eda.gov/disa	ster-recovery/supplemental/		

Congress often appropriates supplemental funds for economic recovery after significant disasters. Between Fiscal Years 2018 and 2019, EDA received \$1.2 billion in supplemental disaster appropriations (\$600 million each year) from Congress to help regions recover from the economic harm and distress resulting from natural disasters in 2017-2019. With the support of these funds, American regions and communities hard hit by major disasters are rebuilding stronger, more resilient economies.

Case Study or Example

Catastrophic flooding in Tennessee in 2010 caused an estimated \$2.5 billion of damage and losses to Nashville businesses. The EDA awarded \$2.5 million in supplemental disaster funds to the Nashville Entrepreneur Center to expand their operations so the city's economy could "bounce forward" to be stronger than it was before the floods. The project allowed the Entrepreneur Center to renovate the historic Trolley Barn building to be used for office and meeting space for new businesses.

More info: https://www.eda.gov/success-stories/disaster/stories/nashville-tn.htm

Next Steps

More information can be found at: https://www.usvieda.org/resources/hurricane-disaster-recovery



Coastal and Marine Habitat Restoration Grants					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard		ion, Sea Level Rise, m Surge, Flood	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Department of Commerc	e National Oceanic and Atmosเ	oheric Administration (NOAA)	
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti Outreach	on, Structure and Infrastructure	Projects, Education and	
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	I I ond-Term Vilineranility		Reduction		
National Disaster Recovery		Natural and Cultural Resources			
Fligible Applicants Institutions of hig			utions of higher education, non-profits, commercial (for profit) organizations, U.S. uries, and state, local, and tribal governments.		
Restrictions Unknown					
Amount Available \$4 million in Community-based Restoration Program funding available for coasta marine habitat restoration in 2020. Award amounts range from \$75,000 to \$3 mi (2020)					
Cost Sharing		None is required, but applicants are encouraged to demonstrate a 1:1 non-federal match for the NOAA funds requested to conduct the proposed project.			
Website		https://www.fisheries.noa	a.gov/grant/coastal-and-marine	e-habitat-restoration-grants	

The NOAA Community-Based Restoration Program recognizes that habitat protection and restoration are essential elements of a strategy for sustainable commercial and recreational fisheries. Investing in habitat restoration projects leads to real, lasting differences for communities, businesses, and the environment. The program grants support restoration projects that use a habitat-based approach to rebuild productive and sustainable fisheries, contribute to the recovery and conservation of protected resources, and promote healthy ecosystems and resilient communities.

Restoration includes activities that return degraded or altered marine, estuarine, coastal, and freshwater, migratory fish habitats to functioning conditions, and techniques that return NOAA trust species to their historic habitats. These projects support economic recovery. They can also prevent future hazards and hazard losses by protecting natural resources in critical areas of the coastal floodplain.

Case Study or Example

Willow Bend Floodplain Habitat Restoration

In 2016, River Partners in California was awarded up to \$1,511,237 to restore floodplain habitat and create natural flood patterns at the Willow Bend Preserve along the Sacramento River. The project provides critical rearing habitat for juvenile salmon and steelhead, including Central Valley steelhead, spring-run Chinook, and critically endangered Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon.

This case study is an excerpt from NOAA's website: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/habitat-conservation/current-and-past-community-based-restoration-projects.

Next Steps

Grant applications can be submitted via www.grants.gov.



14 Disaster Loan Assistance				
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Loan
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes
Department and Agency	Department of Commerce		e Small Business Administration	(SBA)
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ıre Projects	
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	Operational Coordination			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	_	Economic Recovery		
Eligible Applicants		Businesses, private non-	profit organizations, homeowners	s, and renters
Restrictions		Varies by applicant type		
Amount Available		Businesses may borrow up to \$2 million. Homeowners may borrow up to \$200,000 to repair/replace a disaster-damaged private residence or \$40,000 for a homeowner or renter to repair/replace damaged personal property.		
Cost Sharing		Loan		
Website		https://disasterloan.sba.g	ov/ela/Information/Index	

The SBA provides low-interest disaster loans to businesses of all sizes, private non-profit organizations, homeowners, and renters. SBA disaster loans can be used to repair or replace the following items damaged or destroyed in a declared disaster: real estate, personal property, machinery and equipment, and inventory and business assets.

Case Study or Example

In 1999, Hurricane Floyd struck southeastern Pennsylvania with a vengeance, and Pennsylvania entrepreneurs Larry Klebanoff and Drew Wolf's business, League Collegiate Wear, was hit hard. When the storm subsided, Klebanoff and Wolf found their 9,000-square-foot facility—located in a floodplain—virtually underwater. In the face of that level of devastation, Klebanoff and Wolf were forced to close their business for necessary restoration.

In the weeks that followed, League faced many challenges, but relied on support from their staff and from the SBA to rebuild their business.

This case study is an excerpt from: https://www.sba.gov/offices/district/pa/king-of-prussia/success-stories/southeastern-pennsylvania-firm-league-collegiate-wear-emerges-flood-fire-help-sba-disaster-loans.

Next Steps

Apply through the SBA: https://disasterloan.sba.gov/ela/Information/ApplyOnline



15 Continuing Authorities Program (CAP)					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard		ion, Flood, Sea Level , Storm Surge	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Department of Defense L	J.S. Army Corps of Engineers (US	SACE)	
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ure Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Infrastructure Systems, Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants		State, regional, and local governments, tribes, and other non-federal public agencies			
Restrictions		Varies by sub-program			
Amount Available		Federal project limit varies based on subprogram.			
Study - 100%/0% for init federal. Prior to the initia		out many programs follow the follo al \$100,000; 50%/50% remaining ion of the Design and Implement nust be executed and will define t	cost. (2) Implementation - 75% ation phase, a Project		
Website		https://www.saj.usace.arr	my.mil/		

The CAP allows the USACE to plan, design, and implement certain types of water resources projects without additional project-specific Congressional authorization. Under CAP, the USACE can plan and implement projects of limited size, cost, scope, and complexity. These projects include streambank and shoreline protection, hurricane and storm damage reduction projects, and flood damage reduction projects.

All projects in this program include a feasibility phase and an implementation phase.

Case Study or Example

In Cedar Island, VA, the USACE used CAP to study the use of dredged material to create back-barrier shoreline wetlands and marsh islands. The study's key objectives are to:

- Create long-term, sustainable solutions to reduce tidal wetland erosion rates, increase sediment accretion rates, and increase shoreline protection;
- Expand and enhance the existing wetlands and marsh islands to enhance fish habitat, fishery resources, and wildlife habitat;
- Increase the area of inter-tidal mudflat habitat to provide increased foraging opportunities for avian fauna;
- Enhance existing shoreline protection to the town of Wachapreague through creating, enhancing, and protecting wetlands and marsh islands; and
- Add other benefits.

More information: https://www.nao.usace.army.mil/About/Projects/Cedar-Island-CAP-204/

Next Steps



16 Ecosystem Restoration					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	Eros	sion, Flood	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Department of Defense U	JSACE		
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction			
National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants		Communities and local governments			
Restrictions		\$10 million federal project limit			
Amount Available		N/A			
Variable with project type, but generally Feasibility: 100%/0% for initial \$100,00 not apply for dredging projects) Implementation: 75% federal for project aquatic ecosystem restoration projects			initial \$100,000; 50%/50% remair ojects) eral for project modifications; 65%		
Website		https://www.saj.usace.arr	my.mil/		

Ecosystem restoration is one of the primary missions of the USACE. The purpose of the USACE ecosystem restoration activities is to restore significant ecosystem function, structure, and dynamic processes that have been degraded. Ecosystem restoration efforts involve examining the problems contributing to the system degradation, and developing alternative means to solve these problems. The intent of restoration is to partially or fully re-establish the attributes of a natural, functioning, self-regulating system. Restoration opportunities associated with wetlands, riparian, and other floodplain and aquatic systems are likely to be most appropriate for USACE involvement.

The three ecosystem restoration authorities within the program are Project Modifications for Improvements to the Environment, Beneficial Use of Dredged Materials, and Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration.

Case Study or Example

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, partnering with the city of Stamford, Connecticut, is restoring the ecosystem of the Mill River. By removing two concrete dams in 2009, the project reopened the free-flowing river channel to the Atlantic Ocean for the first time since the 1600's, restoring anadromous fish passage to the Rippowam River watershed. The two dams blocked fish passage and tidal exchange and created a sediment-laden impoundment. The project restored floodplains, riparian areas, and salt marsh habitats along the river corridor by removing the dams, walls and fill, creating channel habitats, and replacing exotic plant species with native species. Removal of the Main Street Dam also reduces the 100-year flood elevation in portions of downtown, reducing the depth and extent of potential flooding in the city of Stamford. Restoration of aquatic habitats in the Mill River corridor also improves the human environment by restoring the natural settings of the City's parks and improving outdoor recreational and educational opportunities.

This case study is an excerpt from: https://www.nae.usace.army.mil/Missions/Projects-Topics/Mill-River/.

Next Steps



17 Emergency Operations					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		Department of Defense L	JSACE		
Type of Mitigation Action	Structure and Infrastructu		ıre Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	Operational Coordination				
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	tional Disaster Recovery				
Eligible Applicants		State, territory, and local	governments		
Restrictions		Disaster response and recovery program			
Amount Available	mount Available N/A				
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.saj.usace.arr	my.mil/		

Emergency preparedness and response are primarily state and local responsibilities. However, when the nature of the disaster exceeds the capabilities of state and local interests, the USACE may provide help to save human life, prevent immediate human suffering, or mitigate property damage.

The USACE participates in the National Response Framework as the primary agency for planning, preparedness, and response under Emergency Support Function (ESF) #3, Public Works and Engineering. The purpose of ESF #3 is to provide lifesaving or life-protecting assistance to augment efforts of the affected state(s) and local response efforts following a major or catastrophic disaster.

The USACE's Rehabilitation and Inspection Program provides the inspection of flood control projects, the rehabilitation of damaged flood control projects, and the rehabilitation of federally authorized and constructed hurricane or shore protection projects.

Case Study or Example

As part of the national response framework for Hurricane Sandy, the USACE supported FEMA and worked closely with local, city, and state leaders to support the New York City dewatering mission. The North Atlantic Division deployed technical assistance, leadership oversight, and worked to identify, deploy, and operate pumps consistent with FEMA assignments. They also supported the Emergency Temporary Power mission in New York and New Jersey through staging generators at locations to provide capacity beyond the states' capabilities.

Next Steps



18 Floodplain Management Services (FPMS) Program					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	Eros	sion, Flood	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Department of Defense USACE			
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regulations			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Risk and Disaster Resilience Assessment			
National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Community Planning and Capacity Building			
Eligible Applicants State, territory, regional, and local governments, tribes, an agencies			and other non-federal public		
Restrictions		This program provides st	udies only. Design and constru	ction are not included.	
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		None. State and local governments can receive technical assistance free of charge.			
Website		https://www.saj.usace.arr	my.mil/		

The USACE provides the full range of technical services and planning guidance needed to support effective floodplain management. General technical assistance efforts under this program include determining site-specific data on obstructions to flood flows, flood formation, and timing; flood depths, stages, or floodwater velocities; the extent, duration, and frequency of flooding; information on natural and cultural floodplain resources; and flood loss potentials before and after the use of floodplain management measures. The types of studies conducted under the FPMS program include floodplain delineation/hazard, dam failure analyses, hurricane evacuation, flood warning, floodway, flood damage reduction, stormwater management, flood-proofing, and inventories of floodprone structures.

Case Study or Example

In February 2018, the USACE Baltimore District's FPMS Program provided an update on their work in Southern Maryland, including the following note (abridged here):

Between FY11 and FY17, the Baltimore District:

- Completed a stormwater management plan for Cobb Island in Charles County;
- Completed field surveys of floodprone structures and developed and evaluated flood risk management alternatives for Upper Marlboro:
- Completed modeling and recommended FRM alternatives for Prince George's County (using \$401,500 in funds voluntarily contributed by the county);
- Conducted various tasks to help Calvert County participate in FEMA's CRS Program;
- Conducted surveys and floodproofing analyses for buildings in the Annapolis Historic District;
- Conducted existing conditions stormwater modeling for the Annapolis City Dock area (using \$64k voluntarily contributed by Annapolis in FY16) and presented a number of flood mitigation alternatives to the city in FY17.

Next Steps



19	Inspection of Completed Works Program				
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Department of Defense U	JSACE		
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	Structure and Infrastructure Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Infrastructure Systems			
Eligible Applicants		Communities with critical infrastructure completed by the USACE			
Restrictions		Only for previously comp	leted projects		
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.saj.usace.army.mil/			

The USACE's Inspection of Completed Works Program is designed to ensure that non-federal owners of federally built critical infrastructure, such as a flood damage reduction project, perform essential maintenance in accordance with the operation and maintenance manuals. Annual compliance inspections identify maintenance deficiencies, operational problems, and corrective actions. When necessary, the USACE provides technical assistance before, during, and after each flood emergency. Through these compliance inspections, the USACE ensures that the project will operate and function as designed.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps



20 Silver Jackets				
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Technical Assistance
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes
Department and Agency	Department of Defense U		JSACE	
Type of Mitigation Action	Action Structure and Infrastruc		ure Projects, Education and Ou	treach Programs
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	ity Operational Coordination		ı	
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	tional Disaster Recovery Infrastructure Systems			
Eligible Applicants		N/A		
Restrictions		N/A		
Amount Available		N/A		
Cost Sharing		N/A		
Website		https://silverjackets.nfrmp	o.us/	

Silver Jackets teams across the United States bring together multiple state, federal, and sometimes tribal and local agencies, to learn from one another about reducing the risk of floods and other natural disasters. The collaboration, shared knowledge, and relationships enhance response and recovery efforts when hazard events do occur. Each state Silver Jackets team is unique. Common state agency participants include those with mission areas of hazard mitigation, emergency management, floodplain management, natural resources management or conservation, etc. Federal participation typically includes the USACE, FEMA, and often others such as the National Weather Service and the U.S. Geological Survey.

Case Study or Example

In recent years, Missouri roadways have sustained significant damage from flash floods and high water events. Missouri spends an average of approximately \$10 million each year to repair flood-damaged roads, bridges, and other infrastructure. In March 2018, the Kansas City Silver Jackets team began working with the Missouri State Risk Management Team (SRMT), MODOT, and the Missouri State Emergency Management Agency to prioritize flood mitigation projects for Missouri's roadways. The effort provided MODOT with a framework for prioritizing which locations should be remediated to reduce the frequency of roadway flooding and road closures, based on economic impact.

This mitigation success story is from the Fall 2019 Silver Jackets Newsletter: https://silverjackets.nfrmp.us/Resources/Newsletter

Next Steps

There is a current effort to establish a team in the U.S. Virgin Islands. Check back here for more information: https://silverjackets.nfrmp.us/State-Teams



21 Small Flood Control					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	Floo	d	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Department of Defense L	JSACE		
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ure Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Infrastructure Systems			
Eligible Applicants		State, territory, and local governments			
Restrictions		Projects must pass a cost/benefit analysis			
Amount Available N/A		N/A			
Cost Sharing		Feasibility Study: 100%/0% for initial \$100,000; 50%/50% remaining cost Design and implementation: 65% federal/35% non-federal. At least 5% must be in cash; the rest may be in-kind.			
Website		https://www.saj.usace.arr	my.mil/		

Under the Small Flood Control Program, the USACE works with communities to plan, design, and construct certain small flood control projects that have not already been specifically authorized by Congress. Both structural (levees, channels, or dams, for instance) and nonstructural (floodproofing or evacuation, for example) solutions are considered. Studies are required to evaluate potential projects. Each project selected must be economically justified; that is, the benefits resulting from a project must exceed the cost incurred to construct the project. It also must be environmentally acceptable and complete within itself. In addition, each separate project is limited to a total federal cost of not more than \$10 million, including studies, design, and implementation.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps

More information can be found at: https://www.saj.usace.army.mil/



22 Our Town Grant					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		National Endowment for	the Arts		
Type of Mitigation Action		Education and Outreach	Programs		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit					
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Natural and Cultural Res	ources		
Eligible Applicants	Partnerships including a local go				
Restrictions			subject to the National Historic F Policy Act (NEPA) review.	Preservation Act (NHPA) and/or	
Amount Available \$25,000-\$150,000					
Cost Sharing		Minimum 1:1 match required			
Website		https://www.arts.gov/grar	nts-organizations/our-town/grant	-program-description	

Our Town is a creative placemaking grants program that encourages the integration of arts, culture, and design activities into community and economic development initiatives. Project-based funding is available for local government and nonprofit partnerships. Eligible project types include arts engagement (arts festivals, community co-creation of art, public art, etc.), cultural planning (cultural district planning, creative asset mapping, public art planning), design (public space design, etc.), and artist and creative industry support. Funding can be used to integrate arts, culture, and design into hazard mitigation activities.

Case Study or Example

In 2011, the City of Fargo (North Dakota) was awarded \$100,000 to improve the aesthetics of neighborhood drainage basins and improve community connectivity. One Fargo neighborhood partnered with an ecological artist to create a neighborhood commons at an existing stormwater detention basin. The program also funded an ongoing public art program in the neighborhoods affected by drainage basin construction as well as the creation of a guide to continue the neighborhood commons initiative. Adapted from https://www.arts.gov/national/our-town/grantee/2011/city-fargo-north-dakota

Next Steps

Instructions for how to prepare and submit an application are available here: https://www.arts.gov/grants-organizations/ourtown/how-to-prepare-and-submit-an-application



23 Smart Growth Support					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant, Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		Environmental Protection	a Agency (EPA) Office of Sustain	able Communities	
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	llations, Education and Outreach	1	
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability Long-Term Vulnerability		Long-Term Vulnerability l	Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	-	Community Planning and	nd Capacity Building		
Eligible Applicants		Variable			
Restrictions		Variable			
Amount Available		Variable			
Cost Sharing		Variable			
Website		https://www.epa.gov/sma	artgrowth/about-smart-growth		

The EPA Office of Community Revitalization works on smart growth issues by:

- Conducting research.
- Producing reports and other publications.
- Providing examples of outstanding smart growth communities and projects.
- Working with tribes, states, regions, and communities through grants and technical assistance.

Smart growth can incorporate elements of green infrastructure and/or land preservation and can create more resilient communities.

Case Study or Example

Following severe flooding in 2008, lowa City knew it needed to rebuild in a smarter way. For years, the city had thought about redeveloping an underused semi-industrial area south of their downtown. The 2008 flood gave them an opportunity to do just that. After conducting a retail and housing market analysis, along with a transit-oriented development study, both commissioned by the EPA, lowa City decided that this area could be transformed into a mixed-use, pedestrian- and transit-friendly neighborhood. Using EPA funding, the city had contractors with expertise in sustainable urban planning initiate a process to develop conceptual plans for such a neighborhood. After considerable interaction with local stakeholders, the EPA finalized these plans in May 2011.

Since then, the plans have been implemented. The city adopted a new, form-based zoning code for the district. The aging, floodprone wastewater treatment plant was demolished, and both private and public investment have revitalized the area.

Read more: https://blog.epa.gov/2017/03/02/eight-years-later-epa-assists-iowa-citys-sustainable-recovery-after-historic-2008-flood/

Next Steps

General information about EPA grants can be found here: https://www.epa.gov/grants. Visit www.grants.gov to submit an application.



24 Brownfields Program Grant Funding					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant, Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		EPA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructure Projects			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	_	Infrastructure Systems			
Eligible Applicants		Communities			
Restrictions		Varies			
Amount Available		Varies			
Cost Sharing		Varies			
Website		https://www.epa.gov/brov	wnfields/types-brownfields-grar	t-funding	

The EPA's Brownfields Program provides direct funding for brownfields assessment, cleanup, revolving loans, and environmental job training, and it provides technical information on brownfields financing matters. Separate grant programs are available for assessment, cleanup, environmental job training, and more. While not envisioned as a mitigation program, brownfield cleanup can prevent toxic materials from spreading during a hazard event.

Case Study or Example

Contamination from former sawmills can present significant environmental and economic challenges for many communities across the country. In the late 1990s, the city of Missoula, MT and the EPA began characterizing the former Champion International Sawmill site along the Clark Fork River. They confirmed extensive methane contamination from wood debris, with levels high enough to elevate the site to the Montana Department of Environmental Quality's (DEQ) State Superfund list. The EPA provided funds from a variety of sources: \$137,500 in EPA Targeted Brownfields Assessment; \$2.4 million as an EPA Revolving Loan Fund; and an EPA Brownfields ARRA subgrant. While this project was not intended as a mitigation project, it did prevent contamination from spreading during a flood event.

After nearly 15 years of assessment and cleanup work, the Montana DEQ issued a final cleanup complete (closure) letter in spring 2014. In June of that year, the city dedicated Silver Park. This new 17-acre park is owned by the city and features bike paths, green space, and restored historic sawmill structures and equipment. In March 2015, developers broke ground on the first part of the privately owned 29 acres that will host a mixed retail and housing development. Additional retail plans include a boutique 200-bed student residence and a brewpub restaurant in the aptly named "Old Sawmill District." Additionally, the cleanup enabled Missoula to leverage funds to lengthen Wyoming Street from North California Street to Cregg Lane, which created an important new connector route and helped alleviate congestion for the surrounding neighborhoods.

Excerpted from: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-01/documents/cprs_region_8_sawmill_fact_sheet_508_092415.pdf

Next Steps

Eligible applicants can apply directly to the EPA. More information can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/brownfields/brownfields-and-land-revitalization-new-jersey-new-york-puerto-rico-and-us-virgin



Clean Water State Revolving Loan Fund (CWSRF)						
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Loan		
Hazard	Floo	d	Supports Recovery?	No		
Department and Agency		EPA				
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protection, Structure and Infrastructure Projects				
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	ty	Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction				
National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Natural and Cultural Resources				
Eligible Applicants			Funding is provided to states, and states distribute it to local communities. Tribal and territorial funding is also available.			
Restrictions		Varies by state and territory				
Amount Available		Varies by state and territory				
Cost Sharing		Loan				
Website		https://www.epa.gov/cwsrf				

The EPA's CWSRF program is a federal-state partnership that provides communities a permanent, independent source of low-cost financing for a wide range of water quality infrastructure projects. CWSRF can fund a wide variety of water quality protection efforts. The program's flexibility and broad range of project eligibilities enable states to target CWSRF funds to their specific water quality priorities. Water quality projects often meet flood mitigation goals and vice versa.

States are responsible for the operation of their CWSRF program. Under the CWSRF, states may provide various types of assistance, including loans, refinancing, purchasing, or guaranteeing local debt and purchasing bond insurance. States may also set specific loan terms, including interest rates from 0% to market rate and repayment periods of up to 30 years. States have the flexibility to target financial resources to their specific community and environmental needs.

Case Study or Example

The city of Chattahoochee, Florida received a loan agreement for just under \$427,000 to repair the Chattahoochee wastewater treatment system, which was damaged during Hurricane Michael. This includes forgiving more than \$341,000 in principal, which the city will not be obligated to repay.

More info: https://floridadep.gov/wra/srf/content/recent-awards-State-revolving-fund

Next Steps

More information can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/cwsrf/learn-about-clean-water-state-revolving-fund-cwsrf



Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF)					
Source of Funding	Federal		Resource Type	Loan	
Hazard	Flood		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		ЕРА			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protection, Structure and Infrastructure Projects			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction			
National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		35 Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants		Funding is provided to states, and states distribute it to local communities. Tribal and territorial funding is also available.			
Restrictions	strictions		Varies by state and territory		
Amount Available		Varies by state and territory			
Cost Sharing		Loan			
Website	https://www.epa.go		v/drinkingwatersrf		

Under the DWSRF, the EPA provides grants to all 50 states plus Puerto Rico to capitalize state DWSRF loan programs. The states contribute an additional 20% to match the federal grants. The program also provides direct grant funding for the District of Columbia, U.S. Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Commonwealth of Northern Marianas. Water quality projects often meet flood mitigation goals and vice versa.

The 51 DWSRF programs function like infrastructure banks by providing low-interest loans to eligible recipients for drinking water infrastructure projects. As money is paid back into the state's revolving loan fund, the state makes new loans to other recipients. These recycled repayments of loan principal and interest earnings allow the state's DWSRF to "revolve" over time.

States are responsible for operating their DWSRF programs. Under the DWSRF, states may provide various types of assistance, including:

- Loans
- Refinancing
- Purchasing
- Guaranteeing local debt
- Purchasing bond insurance

States may also set specific loan terms, including interest rates from 0% to the market rate, and repayment periods of up to 30 years

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps

More information can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/dwsrf/funding-assistance-territories-under-drinking-water-state-revolving-fund



27	En	Environmental Finance Centers (EFCs)				
Source of Funding	Federal		Resource Type	Technical Assistance		
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No		
Department and Agency		EPA				
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	al Planning and Regulations			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	У	Community Resilience	nunity Resilience			
National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Community Planning and Capacity Building				
Eligible Applicants		States, territories, tribes, local governments, and the private sector				
Restrictions		Technical assistance				
Amount Available		N/A				
Cost Sharing		N/A				
Website		https://www.epa.gov/waterfinancecenter/efcn				

The EPA's EFCs deliver targeted technical assistance to, and partner with states, tribes, local governments, and the private sector in providing innovative solutions to manage the costs of environmental financing and program management. Potential environmental projects may include hazard mitigation projects.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps

The EPA Region II (New Jersey, New York, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and eight tribal nations) EFC is located at Syracuse University.



Environmental Justice, Grants, and Technical Assistance					
Source of Funding	Federal		Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		EPA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regulations			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Community Resilience			
National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Community Planning and Capacity Building			
Eligible Applicants		Incorporated non-profit organizations— including, but not limited to, environmental justice networks, faith-based organizations and those affiliated with religious institutions; federally recognized tribal governments—including Alaska Native Villages and tribal organizations			
Restrictions		1-year projects			
Amount Available		\$1.5 million in 2019			
Cost Sharing		Unknown			
Website		https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/environmental-justice-grants-funding-and-technical-assistance			

The EPA's Environmental Justice Small Grants (EJSG) program awards grants that support community-driven projects designed to engage, educate, and empower communities to better understand local environmental and public health issues and develop strategies for addressing those issues, building consensus in the community, and setting community priorities. The grants can be used for education and outreach about hazard mitigation efforts.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps

General information about applying for EPA grants can be found here: https://www.epa.gov/grants/how-apply-grants. Visit www.grants.gov to submit an application.



29 Greening America's Communities					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		EPA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	ocal Planning and Regulations		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability	Planning				
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Community Planning and	l Capacity Building		
Eligible Applicants		Cities and towns			
Restrictions		Technical assistance			
Amount Available		Unknown			
Cost Sharing		Unknown			
Website		https://www.epa.gov/sma	artgrowth/greening-americas-co	ommunities	

Greening America's Communities (formerly known as Greening America's Capitals) is an EPA program that helps cities and towns develop an implementable vision of environmentally friendly neighborhoods that incorporates innovative green infrastructure, hazard mitigation, and other sustainable/resilient design strategies. EPA provides design assistance to help support sustainable communities that protect the environment, economy, and public health and to inspire local and state leaders to expand this work elsewhere.

Case Study or Example

The city of Jackson, MS used the Greening America's Communities program to explore retrofitting public spaces within a half-mile of the state capitol building with green technologies such as solar-powered water fountains, native and drought-tolerant landscaping, rain gardens for stormwater treatment, and permeable paving. The Greening America's Communities project developed design concepts that used green technologies and green infrastructure for Congress Street and two public parks.

This case study is an excerpt from: https://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/reports-greening-americas-communities-projects.

Next Steps

General information about applying for EPA grants can be found here: https://www.epa.gov/grants/how-apply-grants. Visit www.grants.gov to submit an application.



Nonpoint Source Water Quality (Section 319) Grants					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	Eros	ion, Flood	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		EPA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protection			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability			Risk and Disaster Resilience Assessment		
National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function	_	Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants		Funding is provided to sta 319 funding is also availa	states and territories, who distribute to local communities. Tribal illable.		
Restrictions		Varies by state and territor	ory		
Amount Available	ount Available Varies by state and territory; total funds in 2019: \$165.4 million		million		
Cost Sharing		Varies by state and territory			
Website		https://www.epa.gov/nps			

Clean Water Act Section 319(h) funds are provided only to designated state and tribal agencies to implement their approved nonpoint source management programs. State and tribal nonpoint source programs include a variety of components, including technical assistance, financial assistance, education, training, technology transfer, demonstration projects, and regulatory programs. Water quality projects often meet flood mitigation goals and vice versa.

Each year, EPA awards Section 319(h) funds to states in accordance with a state-by-state allocation formula that EPA developed in consultation with the states. Tribal funding is also available under Clean Water Act Section 319(h).

Case Study or Example

In the early 2000s, sediment in stormwater runoff from parking lots, driveways, and construction projects at Jay Peak Resort in Jay, Vermont, polluted Jay Branch and a contributing stream (Tributary 9). As a result, the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) placed the two streams on its Clean Water Act section 303(d) list of impaired waters in 2006. In response, the resort designed and installed numerous stormwater runoff treatment, control, and prevention projects, which ultimately reduced sediment levels in both streams. Monitoring in 2016 and 2017 demonstrated that both streams achieved full compliance with Vermont's water quality standards for aquatic life. As a result, DEC removed both streams from the CWA section 303(d) list in 2018.

Stormwater control and prevention practices do double duty to protect communities from water pollution as well as flooding.

Example excerpted from: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2019-12/documents/vt_jay_branch_1824_508.pdf

Next Steps

More information can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/nps



31 Urban Waters Small Grants					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	Floo	d	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		EPA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	Natural Systems Protection		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function		Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants		Eligible applicants include states, territories, local governments, tribes, public and private universities and colleges, public or private nonprofit institutions/organizations, intertribal consortia, and interstate agencies.			
Restrictions					
Amount Available		Up to \$60,000			
Cost Sharing		Unknown			
Website		https://www.epa.gov/urba	anwaters/urban-waters-small-gr	ants	

Healthy and accessible urban waters can help local businesses grow and enhance educational, recreational, social, and employment opportunities in nearby communities. The EPA's Urban Waters Small Grants are expanding communities' ability to engage in activities that improve water quality in a way that also advances community priorities. Water quality projects often meet flood mitigation goals and vice versa.

Improving urban waters requires various levels of government and local stakeholders (e.g., community residents, local businesses, etc.) to work together in developing effective and long-term solutions with multiple benefits. EPA supports and empowers communities, especially in underserved areas, who are working on solutions to address multiple community needs and fostering successful collaborative partnerships.

Since the inception of the Urban Waters Small Grants Program in 2012, the program has awarded approximately \$6.6 million in grants to 114 organizations across the country and Puerto Rico.

Case Study or Example

In 2013, the Urban Waters Federal Partnership designated Proctor Creek as a priority Urban Waters location. Proctor Creek snakes through downtown Atlanta and eventually works its way to the Chattahoochee River. The Partnership works to improve coordination and focus among Federal agencies on problems in the watershed. The Partnership promotes community-led efforts toward economic, social, and ecological revitalization.

Partnership goals include:

- Water quality improvements for the creek
- Create green space and increase the use of green infrastructure
- Research how downtown development contributes to increased stormwater and decreased public health
- Plan and implement projects to offset threats using the Proctor Creek Community-approved PNA Study (Proctor Creek North Avenue)
- Engage communities to become stewards of Proctor Creek
- Advance economic development in the area



This case study is an excerpt from: https://www.epa.gov/urbanwaterspartners/urban-waters-and-proctor-creek-watershedatlanta-georgia.

Next Steps

General information about EPA applying for EPA grants can be found here: https://www.epa.gov/grants/how-apply-grants. Visit www.grants.gov to submit an application.



Water Infrastructure and Resiliency Finance Center					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard		ion, Flood, Sea Level , Storm Surge	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		EPA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	anning and Regulations		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	Community Resilience				
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Community Planning and	Capacity Building		
Eligible Applicants		Communities			
Restrictions		Technical Assistance			
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.epa.gov/wate	erfinancecenter		

The Water Infrastructure and Resiliency Finance Center provides financing information to help local decision makers make informed decisions about drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater infrastructure, to protect human health and the environment. Water quality projects often meet flood mitigation goals and vice versa.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps

The Water Finance Center office at Syracuse University manages Water Finance Center applications for Puerto Rico. To view a list of available grants and how to apply, please visit https://efc.syr.edu/funding-guide-database/



Wetlands Protection Development Grants (WPDGs)					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	Eros	sion, Flood	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		EPA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regulations, Education and Outreach			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Community Resilience			
	National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Community Planning and Capacity Building		
Eligible Applicants		State, territory, tribal, local government agencies and interstate/intertribal entities			
Restrictions		Please refer to the most i	recent Notice of Funding Opport	unity.	
Amount Available	vailable \$1,336,000 available from EPA Region II during the FY19-20 grant period.			9-20 grant period.	
Cost Sharing		Minimum 25% non-federal cost share			
Website		https://www.epa.gov/wetl grant-coordinators	ands/wetland-program-developr	nent-grants-and-epa-wetlands-	

WPDGs provide eligible applicants an opportunity to conduct projects to strengthen wetland protection programs. Eligible projects promote the coordination and acceleration of research, investigations, experiments, training, demonstrations, surveys, and studies relating to the causes, effects, extent, prevention, reduction, and elimination of water pollution. Wetland protection is an important type of natural resource protection hazard mitigation project.

Case Study or Example

The Adirondack Park Agency in New York State has utilized WPDG grants to aid in the long-term goals of the Adirondack Park State Land Master Plan, in part by supporting efforts to inventory, classify and restore specific wetland areas within the park. Wetlands cover nearly 900,000 acres of the park. This case study is an excerpt from: https://www.epa.gov/wetlands/wetland-program-development-grants-case-studies

Next Steps

General information about applying for EPA grants can be found here: https://www.epa.gov/grants/how-apply-grants. Visit www.grants.gov to submit an application.



Section 404 of the Clean Water Act - Compensatory Mitigation					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Other - Credit	
Hazard	Floo	d	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		EPA, USACE			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protection	Natural Systems Protection		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability			y Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	_	Natural and Cultural Reso	ources		
Eligible Applicants		Unknown			
Restrictions		Unknown			
Amount Available		Unknown			
Cost Sharing		Unknown			
Website		https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/compensatory-mitigation			

In 2008, EPA and the USACE jointly revised and clarified regulations with requirements for compensatory mitigation. According to these regulations, compensatory mitigation is the restoration (re-establishment or rehabilitation), establishment (creation), enhancement, and, in certain circumstances, preservation of wetlands, streams, and other aquatic resources to offset unavoidable adverse impacts that remain after all appropriate and practicable avoidance and minimization has been achieved.

The regulations provide three mechanisms for providing compensatory mitigation (in order of preference as established by the regulations): mitigation banks, in-lieu fee programs, and permittee-responsible mitigation.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps

More information on this program can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/wqs-tech/water-quality-standards-regulations-us-virgin-islands



35 Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPGs)					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Department of Homeland (FEMA)	Security (DHS) Federal Emerge	ncy Management Agency	
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	lations		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	ty	Planning			
	National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Community Planning and Capacity Building		
Eligible Applicants	Eligible Applicants		States or territories. May make available to subgrantee communities.		
Restrictions		407, Use of Grant Funds Support for Local Law Er Pursuant to Executive Or • Expenditures for weapo • Costs to support the hir traditional public safety d responsibilities	used to purchase prohibited equip for Controlled Equipment, and Ex- aforcement Equipment Acquisition der 13688). Ins systems and ammunition ing of sworn public safety officers uties or to supplant traditional pul Inrelated to the completion and in	for the purposes of fulfilling polic safety positions and	
Amount Available		\$350 million (FY19)			
Cost Sharing		The recipient's contribution can be cash (hard match) or third-party in-kind (soft match). Eligible EMPG program applicants agree to make available non-federal funds to carry o an EMPG award in an amount not less than 50% of the total project cost.			
Website		https://www.fema.gov/em	nergency-management-performar	nce-grant-program	

The purpose of the EMPG Program is to provide federal funds to states to assist state, local, territorial, and tribal governments in preparing for all hazards. Title VI of the Stafford Act authorizes FEMA to make grants for providing a system of emergency preparedness for the protection of life and property in the United States from hazards. It vests responsibility for emergency preparedness jointly in the federal government, states, and their political subdivisions. The federal government, through the EMPG Program, provides the necessary direction, coordination, guidance, and assistance to support a comprehensive all-hazards emergency preparedness system. The EMPG Program will provide federal funds to assist state, local, tribal, and territorial emergency management agencies to obtain the resources required to support the National Preparedness Goal's associated mission areas and core capabilities.

Case Study or Example

In 2017, Rhode Island received \$3,303,621 in EMPG funding to use and distribute to local communities. The local news reported, "This funding provides grants for emergency preparedness to assist state and local governments in enhancing and sustaining all-hazards emergency management capabilities. Administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the EMPG Program provides necessary direction, coordination, and guidance, and assistance, so that a comprehensive emergency preparedness system exists for all hazards."

Read more: https://turnto10.com/news/local/ri-gets-nearly-8-million-in-Federal-funds-for-security-and-disaster-preparedness

Next Steps

More information can be found at: https://www.fema.gov/media-library-data/1581619373393-04d69a06b533882cd130d6f3b31b66bf/FY_2020_EMPG_Fact_Sheet_GPD_Approved_508AB.pdf



National Dam Safety Program (NDSP)						
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant, Technical Assistance		
Hazard	Dam	and Levee Failure	Supports Recovery?	No		
Department and Agency		DHS FEMA				
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ire Projects			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	у	Risk and Disaster Resilie	nce Assessment			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	_	Infrastructure Systems				
Eligible Applicants		A state or territory with an enacted dam safety program, the State Administrative Agency, or an equivalent state agency, is eligible to apply for the High Hazard Potential Dam (HHPD) grant. Each eligible state may submit only one HHPD grant application. Nonfederal dams that (i) are in a state or territory with a state or territorial dam safety program; (ii) are classified as having "high hazard potential" by the dam safety agency in the dam's state or territory; (iii) have an emergency action plan approved by the state or territory's dam safety agency; and (iv) the state or territory in which the dam is located determines either of these criteria – the dam fails to meet minimum dam safety standards public. An "eligible high hazard potential dam" does not include: (i) a licensed hydroelectric dam; or (ii) a dam built under the federal authority of the Secretary of Agriculture.				
Restrictions	Dams on tribal lands are not eligible t Safety Act of 1994 establishes a Dam Bureau of Indian Affairs. Please see t		not eligible to receive HHPD grant funding. The Indian Dam shes a Dam Safety Maintenance and Repair Program within the Please see the following website for applicant requirements: abilitation-high-hazard-potential-dam-grant-program			
Amount Available		\$10 million				
Cost Sharing		Non-federal cost share requirement of not less than 35%, which may be partially or fully in-kind.				
Website		https://www.fema.gov/na	tional-dam-safety-program			

For 30 years, the federal government has used the NDSP to protect Americans from dam failure. The NDSP is a partnership of states, federal agencies, and other stakeholders that encourages and promotes the establishment and maintenance of effective federal and state dam safety programs to reduce the risks to human life, property, and the environment from dam-related hazards.

Case Study or Example

The NDSP provides technical assistance and resources to states to develop and strengthen their dam safety programs. Publications include model state dam safety program guidelines, fact sheets, technical manuals, videos, and more.

The program also oversees the High-Hazard Potential Dams Grant Program, which provides funding for planning, pre-construction, and construction activities to advance the repair, removal, or rehabilitation of high-hazard dams. In 2019, the grant program provided \$10 million in awards to a total of 26 states.

Next Steps

Grant funds go to states. Technical assistance is available to everyone.



37 Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Program						
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant		
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No		
Department and Agency		DHS FEMA				
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ıre Projects, Natural Systems Pro	tection		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			Planning			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	National Disaster Recovery		Infrastructure Systems , Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants		State-level agencies including state institutions (e.g., state hospital or university); federally recognized tribal governments; authorized tribal organizations, and Alaska Native villages. Local governments may apply as sub-applicants under their state or territorial governments.				
Restrictions		Jurisdiction applying must develop and adopt a hazard mitigation plan.				
Amount Available		FEMA made \$90 million available in 2017. There is a statutory allocation of \$575,000 to each State, \$10 million for federally recognized tribes, and the remainder for competitive funding.				
Cost Sharing			re up to 75% for a federal match , impoverished communities may			
Website		https://www.fema.gov/pre	e-disaster-mitigation-grant-progra	m		

The PDM Grant Program is designed to help states, U.S. territories, federally recognized tribes, and local communities implement a sustained pre-disaster natural hazard mitigation program. The goal is to reduce overall risk to the population and structures from future hazard events, while also reducing reliance on federal funding in future disasters. This program awards planning and project grants and provides opportunities for raising public awareness about reducing future losses before disaster strikes. Mitigation planning is a key process used to break the cycle of disaster damage, reconstruction, and repeated damage. PDM grants are funded annually by Congressional appropriations and are awarded on a nationally competitive basis.

Case Study or Example

Robin Wagner remembers waking up in the middle of the night during rain storms to rush outside with her flashlight to check the height of the creek that ran behind her house in Douglas County, Georgia, where she lived with her daughter. The floodwaters inundated her house several times, sometimes by as much as 4 feet. Twice, the fire department rescued them on boats.

In 2006, following updates to the local floodplain maps, the Douglasville – Douglas County Water and Sewer Authority determined which properties flooded the most. They applied for a PDM grant and worked with six families, including the Wagners, to buy their houses so they could relocate out of harm's way. Once the properties are purchased and the structures are removed, the land is converted to permanent open space and maintained in perpetuity for uses compatible with open space, recreational, or wetlands management practices. The initial grant was so successful, the Douglasville – Douglas County Water and Sewer Authority applied for two additional grants to fund 12 more buyouts.

This is an excerpt from the following FEMA Mitigation Best Practice Story: https://www.hsdl.org/?abstract&did=14227

Next Steps

All applications for this grant must be submitted via state or territory.



Public Assistance (PA) 404 and 406 program					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		DHS FEMA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ıre Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Operational Coordination			
National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Infrastructure Systems			
Eligible Applicants		Eligible applicants include states, federally recognized tribal governments (including Alaska Native villages and organizations, as long as they are not privately owned), U.S. territories, local governments, and certain organizations.			
Restrictions		Mitigation funding is gene Projects must directly rec cost-effective, technically	erally only available for eligible	e disaster-damaged facilities. amage to the facility and must be	
Amount Available		Mitigation funding limits are determined based on a benefit-cost analysis or as a percentage of the damaged facility's repair cost.			
Cost Sharing		The federal share of assistance is not less than 75% of the eligible cost.			
Website		https://www.fema.gov/pu	blic-assistance-local-State-Tr	ibal-and-non-profit	

The FEMA PA program provides grants to state, territorial, tribal, and local governments, and certain types of private non-profit (PNP) organizations, so that communities can quickly respond to and recover from major disasters or emergencies declared by the President.

Through the program, FEMA provides supplemental federal disaster grant assistance for debris removal; life-saving emergency protective measures; and the repair, replacement, or restoration of disaster-damaged publicly owned facilities, and the facilities of certain PNP organizations. The PA program also encourages protection of these damaged facilities from future events by providing assistance for cost-effective hazard mitigation measures during the recovery process.

Case Study or Example

PA Section 406 funding was used to repair and restore sections of the banks of the Kankakee River. The 5.6-mile-long stretch of riverbank, north of De Motte, IN, was damaged in February 2018 by spring flooding, later declared a Presidential disaster (DR-4363). Before the flooding, the riverbank was 25 feet wide. The flooding eroded the riverbank to 15-20 feet in most areas. The project restored the width of the riverbank by adding rip-rap to the embankment. Doing so helped protect people and property near the Kankakee River. PA Section 406 contributed \$1,036,875 toward the total project cost of \$3,580,468.

Next Steps

Public Assistance grants become available after a presidential disaster declaration. FEMA and the community will first perform a joint damage assessment and determine which projects qualify under Public Assistance as defined in the Stafford Act. More information about the Public Assistance grant process can be found at https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/26103



Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	Floo	d	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		DHS FEMA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructure Projects, Natural Systems Protection			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Planning			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	_	Economic Recovery			
Eligible Applicants		Applicants must be states, U.S. territories, or federally recognized tribes. Local governments may apply as sub-applicants under their states or territories.			
Restrictions			ust be members of the NFIP.		
Amount Available		\$210 million (FY19)			
Cost Sharing		Federal funding is available for up to 75% of the eligible activity costs.			
Website		https://www.fema.gov/flood-mitigation-assistance-grant-program			

The goal of FEMA's FMA Program is to reduce or eliminate claims under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). FMA provides funding to states, territories, federally recognized tribes, and local communities for projects and planning that reduce or eliminate the long-term risk of flood damage to structures insured under the NFIP. FMA funding is also available for management costs. Funding is appropriated by Congress annually.

Case Study or Example

Tom Kolar's Lighthouse Resort Inn and Suites in Fort Myers Beach, FL, which sits 200 feet from the beach at San Carlos Bay, used to flood during hurricane season, but it does not anymore. In 2003, the hotel owner worked with a local contractor and the town manager to apply for an FMA grant. The grant provided funds to elevate the six hotel buildings well above the 1-percent-annual-chance flood level. When Hurricane Charley hit the area in August 2004, the four buildings with completed elevation projects were dry and undamaged. Other hotels on the island were damaged, but the Lighthouse Resort was still able to rent rooms.

This is an excerpt from the following FEMA Mitigation Best Practice Story: https://www.hsdl.org/?abstract&did=682864

Next Steps

More information on applying can be found at: https://www.fema.gov/flood-mitigation-assistance-grant-program



40	Haz	ard Mitigation	Grant Program ((HMGP)	
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		DHS FEMA			
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ire Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	:у	Operational Coordination			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Economic Recovery, Infrastructure Systems			
Eligible Applicants		In general, individuals, businesses and private nonprofits via local governments can apply for HMGP funding. Individuals must be sponsored through an appropriate subapplicant such as a local government, state agency, territory agencies, tribe or tribal agency, or private nonprofit. Applications are submitted to the state, eligible tribe, or territory, which receives HMGP funds from FEMA.			
Restrictions		To be considered for funding under HMGP, a project must: - Conform with the approved state and local mitigation plan - Conform with environmental regulations - Solve a problem and be technically feasible - Meet all applicable state and local codes and standards - Demonstrate cost-effectiveness - Consider a range of alternatives			
Amount Available		The available funding is	determined based upon the dis	aster declaration.	
Cost Sharing		non-federal match. Note 25% share with one exce Community Developmen	that funding from other federal ption: funding provided to state Block Grant (CDBG) program	ch and a minimum of 25% for a sources cannot be used for the es, tribes, or territories under the from the Department of Housing e non-federal share requirement.	
Website		https://www.fema.gov/ha	zard-mitigation-grant-program		

The purpose of the HMGP is to help communities implement hazard mitigation measures following a Presidential Major Disaster Declaration in the areas of the state, tribe, or territory requested by the Governor or Tribal Executive. The key purpose of this grant program is to enact mitigation measures that reduce the risk of loss of life and property from future disasters. The primary guidance document for this program is the Hazard Mitigation Assistance Guidance. The HMGP is authorized under Section 404 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act.

Case Study or Example

DeSoto Memorial Hospital in Arcadia, FL, was hit hard during Hurricane Charley in 2004. It lost windows and part of the roof, exposing patients and critical equipment to the wind and rain. The staff maintained operations and moved patients to the interior of the building. Following the storm, the hospital received a loan from the USDA to rebuild, expand, and renovate to strengthen the building and protect against future storms. It also received an HMGP grant to upgrade windows throughout the hospital to meet the design standards of the new construction.

This is an excerpt from the following FEMA Mitigation Best Practice Story: https://www.hsdl.org/?view&did=10944

Next Steps

More information on applying can be found at: https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-grant-program



41 Community Development Block Grant - Mitigation					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	See CDBG-DR	
Department and Agency		Department of Housing a	and Urban Development (HUD)		
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ure Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	:y	Operational Coordination	1		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function	-	Community Planning and	d Capacity Building		
Eligible Applicants		States and territories			
Restrictions		Unknown			
Amount Available		Variable by year			
Cost Sharing		Unknown			
Website		https://www.hudexchang	e.info/news/hud-publishes-cdbg-	mitigation-notice/	

The Community Development Block Grant- Mitigation Program is designed to provide assistance to areas affected by recent disasters through funding high-impact activities aimed at reducing future losses from natural hazards.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps

More information can be found at: https://www.vihfa.gov/programs/federal-programs/community-development-block-grant-program



Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		HUD			
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ıre Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	ty	Operational Coordination			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Func		Community Planning and Capacity Building			
Eligible Applicants		State, territory and local governments act as grantees. Those who receive funding include state agencies, non-profit organizations, economic development agencies, citizens, and businesses.			
Restrictions		Each activity must be CDBG-eligible, address a disaster-related impact in a Presidentially declared county, and meet a national objective. Eligible grantees are states, units of general local government, tribes, and insular areas.			
Amount Available		Varies depending on the	disaster		
Cost Sharing		Varies depending on the cost type More information on costs can be found here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/CDBG-DR-Cost-Types-Summary.pdf			
Website		https://www.hudexchang- https://www.hudexchang- information/#all-disasters	e.info/programs/cdbg-dr/cdbg-dr-	grantee-contact-	

HUD provides flexible grants to help cities, counties, and states recover from Presidentially declared disasters, especially in low-income areas, subject to availability of supplemental appropriations. In response to Presidentially declared disasters, Congress may appropriate additional funding for the CDBG Program as Disaster Recovery grants, to rebuild the affected areas and provide crucial seed money to start the recovery process. Since this Disaster Recovery assistance may fund a broad range of recovery activities, HUD can help communities and neighborhoods that otherwise might not recover due to limited resources.

Case Study or Example

Following severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding in spring 2011, HUD provided disaster recovery funding to the state of Alabama, city of Tuscaloosa, city of Birmingham, and Jefferson County to help them realize local goals to restore and rebuild housing, infrastructure, and businesses. CDBGs support long-term disaster recovery efforts.

More information: https://archives.hud.gov/news/2013/pr13-039.cfm

Next Steps

More information can be found at: https://www.vihfa.gov/programs/federal-programs/community-development-block-grant-program



43 Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Loan	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		HUD			
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ire Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	y	Operational Coordination			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Func		Economic Recovery			
Eligible Applicants		States, territories, metropolitan, cities and urban counties (i.e., CDBG entitlement recipients), non-entitlement communities that are assisted in the submission of applications by states that administer the CDBG program, non-entitlement communities eligible to receive CDBG funds under the HUD-administered small cities CDBG program. The public entity may be the borrower, or it may designate a public agency as the borrower. A separate process is available for territories.			
Restrictions	For purposes of determining eligibility, the CDBG rules and requirements also ap			and moderate-income persons,	
Amount Available	Current availability of funding can be found here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/5197/current-availability-of-section-108-financing cdbg-entitlement-and-State-grantees/				
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.hudexchange	e.info/programs/section-108/		

The Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program (Section 108 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974) provides communities with financing for economic development, housing rehabilitation, public facilities, and other physical development projects, including improvements to increase their resilience against natural disasters. The flexibility of the program makes it one of the most important public investment tools that HUD offers to state and local governments. Section 108 offers state and local governments the ability to transform a small portion of their CDBG funds into federally guaranteed loans large enough to pursue physical and economic revitalization projects capable of revitalizing entire neighborhoods.

Case Study or Example

Indiana received \$30 million in 2013 for their Community Enhancement and Economic Development (CEED) Loan Fund. The state used the Section 108 loan funds to capitalize a CEED Loan Fund to make loans to eligible local governments throughout the state. The local governments will either re-lend the Section 108 guaranteed loan funds to third party for-profit and nonprofit businesses, including Community-Based Development Organizations, use the funds directly, or deploy the funds through a subrecipient to carry out a variety of activities. Loans will range between \$1 million and \$7 million. The funds can be used for improvements to increase resilience against natural disasters.

This is an excerpt from the following HUD document: https://www.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/2013-Section-108-Guaranteed-Loan-Program-Overview.pdf.

Next Steps

More information on applying can be found at: https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/section-108/



44 National	Coa	ıstal Wetlands	Conservation Gra	ants (NCWCGs)
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant
Hazard	Floo Surg	d, Sea Level Rise, Storm le	Supports Recovery?	No
Department and Agency		Department of Interior Fis	sh and Wildlife Service (FWS)	
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on	
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	y	Long-Term Vulnerability l	Reduction	
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Func		Natural and Cultural Res	ources	
Eligible Applicants		State and territory agence	ies, coastal states only	
Restrictions		Must meet cost-share		
Amount Available		\$20 million in 2019		
Cost Sharing		Open to applicants who have established a fund that is used for acquiring coastal wetlands, other natural areas, or open space, at a 75% grant share of total project costs, up to the maximum award of \$1,000,000. Open to others at a 50% cost share of total projects costs through the NCWCG program.		
Website		https://www.fws.gov/coas	stal/CoastalGrants/index.html	

The NCWCG program annually provides grants of up to \$1 million to coastal and Great Lakes states and territories to protect, restore, and enhance coastal wetland ecosystems and associated uplands. The grants are funded through the Sport Fish Restoration and Boating Trust Fund, which is supported by excise taxes on fishing equipment and motorboat fuel. Wetland conservation is an important type of natural resource protection hazard mitigation project.

Case Study or Example

The North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, in partnership with the North Carolina Coastal Land Trust, was awarded \$1 million to acquire a 3,000-acre property along 7.5 miles of the Waccamaw River in Columbus County, North Carolina. The project will help protect over 1,900 acres of forested wetlands and considerable waterfowl, water bird, and land bird habitat. This will also create new public game lands, along with a new canoe/kayak landing for public access to the Waccamaw River Blue Trail.

Next Steps

General information about applying for NCWCG Program can be found here: https://www.fws.gov/coastal/CoastalGrants/index.html. Visit www.grants.gov to submit an application.



North American Wetland Conservation Fund					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Department of Interior FV	NS		
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	Natural Systems Protection		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	:y	Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Func	-	Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants			gible activities can be found in E ratorybirds/pdf/grants/Eligibility(
Restrictions		N/A			
Amount Available		\$23.9 million in 2019			
Cost Sharing		1:1 matching.			
Website		https://www.fws.gov/birds	s/grants/north-american-wetlan	d-conservation-act.php	

The North American Wetland Conservation Fund Program provides matching grants to wetlands conservation projects in the United States, Canada, and Mexico. It includes a Standard Program and a Small Grants Program. Wetland conservation is an important type of natural resource protection hazard mitigation project.

Case Study or Example

The Nature Conservancy received \$1 million to protect more than 5,000 acres in Georgia, including nearly 2,000 acres of wetlands.

More information: https://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/pdf/grants/nawcaprojects190917.pdf

Next Steps

More information on how to apply can be found at: https://www.fws.gov/birds/grants/north-american-wetland-conservation-act/how-to-apply-for-a-nawca-grant.php



46 Disaster Recovery Grants					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		Department of Interior Na	ational Park Service (NPS)		
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	ction		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			1		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Natural and Cultural Res	sources		
Eligible Applicants		Dependent on Congress specific disaster	ional authorization specific to d	isaster; must be affected by	
Restrictions		Must be affected by spec	cific disaster		
Amount Available		Varies			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.nps.gov/pres	servation-grants/disaster-recov	ery/index.html	

Congress responds to some major disasters by appropriating Emergency Supplemental funding from the Historic Preservation Fund (ESHPF) for recovery, allowing state and tribal Historic Preservation Offices to work on various recovery projects, including compliance activities, survey and inventory of historic resources in areas impacted by the disaster, recovery and repair of historic properties damaged during the disaster, and other activities related to disaster recovery, as approved by the NPS. All funded repair work must substantially mitigate the threat and include steps to mitigate future damages.

Case Study or Example

In 2018, Congress appropriated \$50 million in ESHPF through Public Law 115-123 to provide relief for historic preservation projects affected by Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria. The funds went to state and tribal Historic Preservation Offices in locations where major disaster declarations had been issued (Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, Texas, and the U.S. Virgin Islands). These funds were released to the states and tribes in these areas; private property owners interested in receiving support for historic properties should contact their state or tribal Historic Preservation Office.

This information excerpted from: https://www.nps.gov/preservation-grants/disaster-recovery/program-details.html

Next Steps

Information about different grants and application requirements can be found here: https://www.nps.gov/shpo/application.html. Please visit www.grants.gov to submit an application.



47 Emergency Relief Program					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		Department of Transporta	ation Federal Highway Administra	ation (FHWA)	
Type of Mitigation Action		Structure and Infrastructu	ıre Projects		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	ty	Operational Coordination			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function		Infrastructure Systems			
Eligible Applicants		States and territories may request ER funds, which can be used for either "emergency repairs" or "permanent repairs."			
Restrictions		Must be in affected disaster areas			
Amount Available		\$100 million is authorized annually under 23 U.S.C. 125. Congress has periodically provided additional funds for the ER program through supplemental appropriations. The total ER obligations for U.S. territories is limited to \$20 million in any fiscal year.			
Cost Sharing		For Interstate highways, the federal share is 90%. For all other highways, the federal share is 80%. The federal share for permanent ER repairs may amount to 90% if the combined eligible ER expenses incurred by the state in a federal fiscal year exceeds the annual apportionment of the state under 23 U.S.C. section 104 for the fiscal year in which the disasters or failures occurred.			
Website		https://www.fhwa.dot.gov	/programadmin/erelief.cfm		

The FHWA Emergency Relief (ER) Program provides grants for the repair or reconstruction of federal-aid highways and roads on federal lands that have suffered serious damage as a result of either natural disasters or catastrophic failures from an external cause. The program supplements the commitment of resources by states, their political subdivisions, or other federal agencies to help pay for unusually heavy expenses resulting from extraordinary conditions. The applicability of the ER program to a natural disaster is based on the extent and intensity of the disaster. Damage to highways must be severe, occur over a wide area, and result in unusually high expenses to the highway agency. Applicability of ER to a catastrophic failure due to an external cause is based on the criteria that the failure was not the result of an inherent flaw in the facility, but was sudden, caused a disastrous impact on transportation services, and resulted in unusually high expenses to the highway agency.

Case Study or Example

In January 2020, the FHWA made \$5 million available in "quick release" ER funds to help Puerto Rico recover from a series of earthquakes. The ER program funds the repair of federally eligible highways and bridges damaged by natural disasters or catastrophic events.

Quick release funds are a down payment on the costs of short-term repairs. Puerto Rico will assess long-term repairs.

Next Steps

Information about grants and application requirements can be found here: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/specialfunding/index.cfm#fa.



Disaster Assistance and Emergency Relief for Individuals and Businesses					
Source of Funding	Fede	eral	Resource Type	Other - Tax Relief	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		Department of Treasury I	Internal Revenue Service (IRS)		
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	egulations		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			y Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	_	Economic Recovery	Economic Recovery		
Eligible Applicants		U.S. taxpayers, including disasters	individuals and businesses affo	ected by federally declared	
Restrictions		Various by specific progr	am; must be affected by disaste	er	
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.irs.gov/busin emergency-relief-for-indiv		nployed/disaster-assistance-and-	

Special tax law provisions may help taxpayers and businesses recover financially from the impact of a disaster, especially when the federal government declares their location to be a major disaster area. Depending on the circumstances, the IRS may grant additional time to file returns and pay taxes. Both individuals and businesses in a federally declared disaster area can get a faster refund by claiming losses related to the disaster on the tax return for the previous year, usually by filing an amended return. The IRS also offers audio presentations on planning for disaster. These presentations discuss business continuity planning, insurance coverage, record keeping, and other tips to stay in business after a major disaster.

Case Study or Example

Following the fall 2018 wildfires in California, residents from affected counties received additional time to file income tax returns. Fees and penalties for late submittals were waived, and certain deadlines were extended.

Following Hurricanes Michael and Florence in 2018, residents of some North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia counties were granted additional time to file tax returns. Fees and penalties were waived, and deadlines were extended. In addition, the IRS loosened the requirements so affected individuals could take hardship distributions and loans from 401(k), 403(b), and other employer-sponsored retirement accounts without the usual penalties.

More information at https://www.irs.gov/newsroom/tax-relief-for-victims-of-november-8-wildfires-in-california and https://www.irs.gov/newsroom/proposed-hardship-withdrawal-regulations-include-relief-for-disaster-victims-retirement-plans-can-now-make-loans-hardship-distributions-to-victims-of-hurricanes-michael-and-florence

Next Steps



49 Enterprise Zone Tax Incentives					
Source of Funding	Terri	itory	Resource Type	Tax Credit	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		USVI Economic Develop	ment Agency		
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	gulations, Education and Outreach		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	у	Community Resilience	3		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	_	Natural and Cultural Res	sources		
Eligible Applicants		Business owners who are	e residents of the VI.		
Restrictions		None			
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.usvieda.org/	revitalize-community/ez-eligibilit	y-application-process	

The Enterprise Zone Commission (EZC) offers a unique and attractive tax incentive program for companies located in our historic towns. The EZC's mandate is to revitalize once vital vibrant communities that are now considered to be distressed. In achieving this objective within the Territory, the EZC seeks to provide appropriate investments, tax benefits, and regulatory relief of sufficient importance to encourage the business community to commit financially.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps



50	Intermediary Relending Program			am	
Source of Funding	Terri	itory	Resource Type	Loan	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		USVI Economic Develop	ment Agency		
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	anning and Regulations, Education and Outreach		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			Community Resilience		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants			located on St. Thomas or St. John, must be a citizen, a of the loan amount is due on approval		
Restrictions		Cannot be used to repay	a delinquent federal, state, or lo	ocal income tax	
Amount Available		\$100,000			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.usvieda.org/ program	start-or-grow-business/financing	-options/intermediary-relending-	

This program is aimed at funding community development projects and creating new businesses, or expanding existing ones.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps



51 Development Loan Program					
Source of Funding	Terri	itory	Resource Type	Loan	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		USVI Economic Develop	ment Agency		
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	ocal Planning and Regulations, Education and Outreach		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			Community Resilience		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Functions	_	Economic Recovery			
Eligible Applicants		Applicants must be USVI residents (for at least 5 years) and have proof that they are a business owner			
Restrictions					
Amount Available		\$500,000			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.usvieda.org/start-or-grow-business/financing-options/development-loan-program			

This program is for businesses that are trying to grow and who have not been able to secure funding from local or commercial banks

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps



Five Star and Urban Waters Restoration Grant Program						
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Grant		
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No		
Department and Agency		National Fish and Wildlife	Foundation (NFWF)			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	ty	Long-Term Vulnerability	Reduction			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Func		Natural and Cultural Resources				
Eligible Applicants		Local governments or orç	organizations			
Restrictions		All projects must have: •On-the-ground activities such as wetland, river, or coastal habitat restoration and/or targeted green infrastructure creation and monitoring; •Community partners united to achieve ecological and educational outcomes; •Integrated education, outreach, and training on the restoration project through broad community engagement activities or participation and integration with K-12 environmenta curriculum •Measurable ecological, educational, and community benefits				
Amount Available						
Cost Sharing		Matching required				
Website		http://www.nfwf.org/fivest	ar/Pages/home.aspx			

The Five Star and Urban Waters Restoration Program seeks to develop nationwide-community stewardship of local natural resources, preserving these resources for future generations and enhancing habitat for local wildlife. Projects seek to address water quality issues in priority watersheds, such as erosion due to unstable streambanks, pollution from stormwater runoff, and degraded shorelines caused by development.

Case Study or Example

The Wolf River Conservancy (WRC) removed 65 acres of invasive plants and planted 5,084 trees at four target properties along the Wolf River in urbanized sections of Memphis, TN. Project partners contributed manual labor, community organizing, bird expertise, project coordination, financial support and environmental education. A total of 3,776 volunteers assisted the WRC in the restoration work, including WRC members, corporate volunteers, and Five Star and Urban Waters Restoration Program students who participated in service projects as part of the environmental stewardship education component of the program. An additional 5,901 community members were engaged through public outreach and education.

Next Steps



National Coastal Resilience Fund					
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard		sion, Flood, Sea Level , Storm Surge	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		NFWF			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	:y	Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction			
	National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Natural and Cultural Resources		
Eligible Applicants		Eligible applicants include non-profit 501(c) organizations, state and territorial government agencies, local governments, municipal governments, tribal governments, educational institutions, or commercial (for-profit) organizations. Tribal governments include both federally recognized tribes and tribes that are not federally recognized.			
Restrictions		As this program will award grants of Federal financial assistance funds, applicants must be able to comply with the OMB guidance in subparts A through F of 2 CFR 200. For more information> https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/learn-grants/grant-policies/omb-uniform-guidance-2014.html			
Amount Available \$31 million (202		\$31 million (2020)	illion (2020)		
Cost Sharing A minimum 1:1 non-fede encouraged.		ral match in cash or in-kind servic	es is expected and strongly		
Website		https://www.nfwf.org/prog	grams/national-coastal-resilience-	fund	

The National Coastal Resilience Fund restores, increases, and strengthens natural infrastructure to protect coastal communities while also enhancing habitats for fish and wildlife. Established in 2018, the National Coastal Resilience Fund invests in conservation projects that restore or expand natural features such as coastal marshes and wetlands, dune and beach systems, oyster and coral reefs, forests, coastal rivers and floodplains, and barrier islands that minimize the impacts of storms and other naturally occurring events on nearby communities. The National Coastal Resilience Fund is supported by NOAA, Shell Oil Company, and TransRe.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps



54	Resilient Communities Program				
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		NFWF			
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	Local Planning and Regulations		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function	-	Community Planning and	d Capacity Building		
Eligible Applicants		Local governments or orç	ocal governments or organizations		
Restrictions		Grants will be offered one communities	ce a year to support priority proj	ects in select states and	
Amount Available \$		\$12 million plus additional local funding to \$24 million			
Cost Sharing		Matching required			
Website		https://www.nfwf.org/resi	lientcommunities/Pages/home.a	spx	

The program focuses on water quality and quantity declines, forest health concerns, and sea level rise. The program will emphasize community inclusion and assistance to traditionally underserved populations in vulnerable areas.

Case Study or Example

Maui Nui Marine Resource Council received a grant in 2019 to increase fire resilience in 3,414 acres of Forest Reserve in Ma'alaea, Hawaii, by eliminating fuel in strategically placed corridors.

Next Steps



Climate Adaptation Knowledge Exchange					
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Climate Adaptation Knov	vledge Exchange		
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	Natural Systems Protection		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	Community Resilience				
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Natural and Cultural Res	ources		
Eligible Applicants		N/A			
Restrictions		None			
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.cakex.org/			

The Climate Adaptation Knowledge Exchange is the world's largest and most used source of climate adaptation case studies and resources. It can be used to share lessons, ideas, and opportunities with others in the field.

Case Study or Example

One tool available from the Climate Adaptation Knowledge Exchange is the Puerto Rico Coastal Management Program's Coastal Adaptation Project. Its goal is to develop a coastal zone vulnerability assessment and appropriate adaptation strategies to help Puerto Rico cope with existing coastal hazards and future climate changes. The project is using stakeholder participation, spatial analysis tools, geophysical and chemical scientific knowledge, and the best available data from Puerto Rico's experts to develop broadly applicable outputs.

This information was excerpted from the following case study: https://www.cakex.org/case-studies/puerto-rico-coastal-adaptation-project

Find more case studies on the Climate Adaptation Knowledge Exchange's interactive map: https://www.cakex.org/interactive-map?title=&type=project.

Next Steps



56			EIP	
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Loan
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No
Department and Agency		Ecosystem Investment P	artners (EIP)	
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on	
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	y	Long-Term Vulnerability l	Reduction	
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function	-	Natural and Cultural Resources		
threatened ecological or priority restoration projet geographies with three - Extensive, significant endangered species hat - Active land developm significant demand for - Effective enforcement impacts are offset througe		threatened ecological or priority restoration project geographies with three kingle - Extensive, significant are endangered species habited - Active land developmer significant demand for endemand the extensive enforcement of impacts are offset throug restoration	nd regulated natural resources, s	nities to create value through it. Acquisitions typically target uch as wetlands, streams, or in the area generating id credits) at unavoidable ecological ified public demand for
Restrictions		See eligibility		
Amount Available		Unknown		
Cost Sharing		Unknown		
Website		https://ecosystempartnersbanking-credits/	s.com/investment-strategy-focus	ed-on-stream-and-wetland-

EIP invests in large-scale ecological restoration projects that meet this growing demand. Their environmental investing initiatives satisfy mitigation needs through the use of private conservation finance capital.

Case Study or Example

Four projects, in Minnesota, West Virginia, Louisiana and Kentucky, will restore over 35,000 acres and 100 miles of streams, including some of the largest private restoration projects in the country to date. Stream restoration is a type of natural resource preservation hazard mitigation project.

Excerpted from https://www.forbes.com/sites/ashoka/2014/04/25/how-private-capital-is-restoring-u-s-wetlands/#36c892415e83

Next Steps



57	Firewise				
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	Wild	fire	Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		National Fire Prevention	Association		
Type of Mitigation Action		Education and Outreach	ach Programs		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	Community Resilience				
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function		Natural and Cultural Res	tural Resources		
Eligible Applicants		Communities			
Restrictions		None			
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.nfpa.org/Pub	olic-Education/Fire-causes-and-	risks/Wildfire/Firewise-USA	

Firewise USA is a voluntary program that provides a framework to help neighbors get organized, find direction, and take action to increase the ignition resistance of their homes and community.

Case Study or Example

The community of Falls Creek in Durango, Colorado was threatened by the 416 fire in June 2018. Because of the community's prior fire mitigation efforts, fire fighters were able to defend the community and fight the fire.

Learn more at https://www.nfpa.org/Public-Education/Fire-causes-and-risks/Wildfire/Firewise-USA/Firewise-USA-success

Next Steps



58 Climate Adaptation Fund					
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Wildlife Conservation Soc	ciety (WCS)		
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	on		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	ty	Planning			
	National Disaster Recovery		Natural and Cultural Resources		
Eligible Applicants		Conservation non-profits	ïts		
Restrictions		Project proposals must: - Focus on the functionality of ecosystems, rather than conserving individual species - Be designed for long-term conservation impact - Create the potential for impact at a landscape scale - Use strategic, targeted communication activities to amplify adaptation outcomes - Be designed with climate adaptation for wildlife and ecosystems as a core goal or outcome of the work - Propose conservation goals and actions that are grounded in the best available science - Conduct on-the-ground implementation, not research, planning, or tool development			
Amount Available		The WCS Climate Adaptation Fund provides a total of \$2.5 million in grant awards (between \$50,000 and \$250,000 each) to conservation non-profit organizations each year.			
Cost Sharing		Unknown			
Website		https://www.wcsclimatead	daptationfund.org/program-inform	ation	

The WCS Climate Adaptation Fund provides grant awards to conservation non-profits across the United States to catalyze innovative, science-driven projects responding to the impacts of climate change on wildlife and people.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps



59 Adaptation Clearinghouse					
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Georgetown Climate Cer	nter		
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	ocal Planning and Regulations, Education and Outreach		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	ability Community Resilien		nce		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Community Planning and	d Capacity Building		
Eligible Applicants		N/A			
Restrictions		None			
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.georgetowno	climate.org/adaptation/featured.	html	

The Georgetown Climate Center provides resources to policymakers and others helping communities adapt to climate change.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps



60	USVI Strong				
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		USVI Strong			
Type of Mitigation Action		Education and Outreach	Programs		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	Long-Term Vulnerability l		Reduction		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Economic Recovery			
Eligible Applicants		Hospitals and schools			
Restrictions		N/A			
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.usvistrong.or	rg		

The nonprofit, USVI Strong, is dedicated to post-2017 hurricane disaster recovery, supporting medical and educational institutions.

Case Study or Example

The Iggi Project is an initiative created in order to help young children, parents, and teachers process trauma from hurricanes. This initiative has created coloring books, teachers manuals, and parental guides all with the purpose of helping children through troubling times.

Next Steps

Contact USVI Strong for more information on receiving assistance



61	Building Forward Matching Grants				
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		Community Foundation of	of the Virgin Islands		
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regulations, Education and Outreach			
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	У	Operational Coordination	Coordination		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct		Economic Recovery			
Eligible Applicants		Organizations that have	received outside funding		
Restrictions		N/A			
Amount Available		Up to \$100,000			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.grantinterfac	e.com/Process/Apply?urlkey=c	cfvi	

These grants are an initiative designed to help communities in the USVI by offering matching funding from outside streams such as federal or territorial agencies. This helps to cover the cost of the local match requirements often required in outside grants.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps



62 CARES Act Emergency Relief Grants for Humanities					
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Grant	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		Community Foundation of	of the Virgin Islands		
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	Local Planning and Regulations, Education and Outreach		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit	:y	Community Resilience	ience		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Funct	-	Economic Recovery			
Eligible Applicants		Humanities and cultural o	organizations		
Restrictions		Grants are for operationa	al and general costs		
Amount Available		\$2,500-\$20,000			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.grantinterfac	e.com/Process/Apply?urlkey=cfv	ri	

The CFVI provides funding for entities that have been financially damaged by the COVID-19 pandemic. Funding for this is provided from the federal CARES Act.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps



Virgin Islands Marine Rebuild Fund					
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Grant, Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	Yes	
Department and Agency		Community Foundation of	of the Virgin Islands		
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protecti	ection		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capabilit			on		
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Function	-	Natural and Cultural Res	ources		
Eligible Applicants		N/A			
Restrictions		N/A			
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://vimarinerebuild.wo JiGh-Am5dhKcebC7CkZ		clgEal_9QOVJU7tGCpS5yrpQro	

This program provides cleanup, support, and educational programs in the wake of hurricanes Irma and Maria.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps

Contact CFVI for more information on the program



64 Youth Ocean Explorers					
Source of Funding	Priva	ate	Resource Type	Technical Assistance	
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No	
Department and Agency		University of the Virgin Is	slands		
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regu	lanning and Regulations, Education and Outreach		
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Public Information and Warning			
National Disaster Recover Framework Support Func	-	Natural and Cultural Resources			
Eligible Applicants		Middle to high school-ag	ged students		
Restrictions		N/A			
Amount Available		N/A			
Cost Sharing		N/A			
Website		https://www.uvi.edu/community/virgin-islands-marine-advisory-service/st-thomas/vimas-discovery_week.aspx			

The Youth Ocean Explorers Summer Program is a program for youth who are interested in the science behind marine ecology and the environment

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps

Registration information can be found on the website



65			STAR			
Source of Funding	Private		Resource Type	Technical Assistance		
Hazard	All		Supports Recovery?	No		
Department and Agency	partment and Agency		Sea Turtle Assistance and Rescue (STAR)			
Type of Mitigation Action		Natural Systems Protection				
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction				
National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Natural and Cultural Resources				
Eligible Applicants		N/A				
Restrictions		N/A				
Amount Available		N/A				
Cost Sharing		N/A				
Website		http://www.seaturtle.org/groups/index.shtml?view=244				

STAR monitors sea turtle populations in the USVI area, keeping track of their health and status.

Case Study or Example

None identified

Next Steps

Contact the organization for information on how to get involved



66 Community Planning Assistance Teams						
Private		Resource Type	Technical Assistance			
All		Supports Recovery?	Yes			
	American Planning Association					
Type of Mitigation Action		Local Planning and Regulations				
National Mitigation Framework Core Capability		Planning				
National Disaster Recovery Framework Support Function		Community Planning and Capacity Building				
Eligible Applicants		Localities with a demonstrated need for assistance who may lack planning resources and expertise				
Restrictions		Proposal evaluation criteria are available on the Community Checklist found here: https://planning-org-uploaded-media.s3.amazonaws.com/legacy_resources/communityassistance/teams/pdf/CPATCommunityChecklist.pdf				
Amount Available		N/A				
Cost Sharing		N/A				
Website		https://www.planning.org/communityassistance/teams/				
	Priva All	Private All American Planning Associated Planning and Regulation Planning Community Planning and Localities with a demonst expertise Proposal evaluation crite https://planning-org-uploamedia.s3.amazonaws.comunityChecklist.pdf N/A N/A	Private Resource Type All Supports Recovery? American Planning Association Local Planning and Regulations Planning Community Planning and Capacity Building Localities with a demonstrated need for assistance who expertise Proposal evaluation criteria are available on the Communitys://planning-org-uploaded-media.s3.amazonaws.com/legacy_resources/communitymunityChecklist.pdf N/A N/A			

The American Planning Association provides volunteer planning assistance by teams of accredited planning professionals for communities in need of planning assistance.

Case Study or Example

The CPAT Program currently has a team working in St. Thomas in the U.S. Virgin Islands to help address the damages caused by hurricanes Irma and Maria in 2017, mitigate future hazards, and improve pedestrian safety near Schneider Regional Medical Center. Adapted from https://www.planning.org/communityassistance/teams/stthomas/

Next Steps

Community proposals are accepted throughout the year. Interested communities are encouraged to review the Community Checklist and fill out the CPAT Community Proposal Form on the program website. To discuss a potential project, applicants may contact customer service at https://www.planning.org/customerservice/contact-us/ or call Ryan Scherzinger, Programs Manager, at 312-786-6359.



