

Flood Risk Project

Tompkins County, New York Project Kick Off Meeting March 13, 2019





Please Introduce Yourself



Name

- Role
- Organization

Also, what do you hope to gain from our meeting today? As partners with FEMA, it's important we create dialogue about your needs for flood risk information.



Please sign in!





Today's Goals



The value of updated flood maps for your community Recap of Flood Risk Study history, including Discovery and Seneca Watershed study



Review countywide study scope, products and outreach process





FEMA Mitigation Division

Risk Analysis Branch

Goal: Stronger and Safer Communities



3

Increasing Resilience Together





The Value of Updated Flood Maps for Local Communities



Flood Maps Guide Progress By:







→

G	ഹ

Identifying and Assessing Flood Risk Establishing Flood Insurance Rates

Determining Local Land Use

Informing Engineers and Developers Equipping Emergency Managers





Why Update Flood Maps?



Older maps done in 1980-1985 Digital data











How did we get here? Review past activities



Discovery/Post-Discovery Process *Recap*

- Discovery Meetings held January 2014 and Discovery completed 2016
- Work maps shared and FEMA reviewed local community input to determine needs in April 2018
- First countywide issuance will combine current studies with Compass Studies for countywide issuance









What is being studied now? Discuss scope of new study



- First time digital countywide maps
- Flooding sources analyzed:
 - Cayuga Lake 38.1 miles
 - Detailed (AE) studies
 13 streams, 49.8 miles
 - Approximate (A) studies Multiple streams, 32.3 miles
- 95 map panels (all 6K panels)
- 16 communities
- Combination of STARR II and Compass Studies







Flood Risk Study Analysis







Hydrology

Volume of water?

When will storm water or runoff make it to the stream?

Hydraulics

Will the stream in question be able to convey all storm water or runoff that arrives?

Floodplain Mapping

What areas of a community will be inundated based on engineering analysis?

Hydrologic Analysis

- Typical Methods FEMA utilizes
 - Statistical Gage Analyses
 - Regression Analyses
 - Rainfall Runoff Modeling
- Gage/Regression are based on availability stream gage data
- Rainfall-Runoff physical modeling chosen due to limited gage data
 - Using USACE's HEC-HMS Program
- Discharges developed for
 - **10%**, 4%, 2%, 1%, 1%+, 1%-,0.2%
 - Inputs for hydraulic analyses



Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency Bulletin 17C



.....





U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

Hydraulic Analysis

- Types of Analyses
 - One Dimensional (1D) Steady State
 - One Dimensional (1D) Unsteady State
 - Two Dimensional (2D) Unsteady State
- Modeling developed using USACE's HEC-RAS Program.
- Terrain Data 2014 LiDAR
 - Provides topographic elevation information
 - Supplemented by field survey
- Field Survey for Detailed only
 - Collection underway: 39 Bridges/206 under water channel sections
- Flood hazard Data Generated
 - Elevations: 10%, 4%, 2%, 1%, 1%+, 1%-, 0.2%
 - Floodplain extents: 1%, 0.2%

Susquehanna River – HEC-RAS Models



Increasing Resilience Together





- First time digital countywide maps
- STARR II flooding sources analyzed
 - Cayuga Lake Lake levels based on Dam operator or gage data
 - 13 streams of Detailed studies
 49.8 miles 1D and 3 miles 2D
 - Approximate (A) studies multiple streams, 32.3 miles
- I6 affected communities
- ▶ 95 map panels







- Detailed Studies in City of Ithaca
- Cascadilla Creek
- Cayuga Inlet
- Fall Creek
- Old Inlet
- Relief Channel
- Sixmile Creek
- USGS Study





- Detailed 2D study in City of Ithaca and Town of Ithaca
- Ithaca Levee Plan LAMP project







Detailed studies – Town of Ithaca

 Cayuga Inlet, Cascadilla Creek, Fall Creek







- Village of Dryden Detailed Studies
- Dryden Lake
 Outlet
- Egypt Creek
- Lateral A
- Lateral B
- Lateral C
- Lateral D





- Village of Groton
 Detailed Study
- Owasco Inlet





Other Approximate Streams

- Approximate streams using 1D Steady State Hydraulic Modeling
- Some ponding areas volumetric calculations assuming no outflow
- Notable streams
 - Pony Hollow Creek 2.5 miles
 - Webster Brook 2.8 miles
 - West Branch Owego Creek
 11.5 miles
 - Willseyville Creek 5.6 miles









Where are we now and what is next? Discuss next steps



Overall Flood Risk Project Timeline





Major Study Milestones

Data Development (12 months)

- Terrain processing
- Field reconnaissance and survey
- Hydrologic modeling (620 letters)
- Hydraulic modeling (620 letters)
- Floodplain mapping (workmaps)

- Flood Risk Review Meeting
 - Work map products (14 months)
- Regulatory Product Update (FIRM & FIS)
 - Preliminary issuance (24 months)

► Resilience Meeting

Flood risk products (28 months)







What will communities receive? Regulatory Products



Regulatory Products

- Regulatory product development commences after work map comment period
- Seamless countywide mapping produced
- Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) Database
- 95 FIRM Panels
- Flood Insurance Study (FIS) Report

FEMA





Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Example

x 19181C PRELIM metadata.xml XML Document L_Comm_Info.dbf dBASE Table L_Comm_Revis.dbf dBASE Table L ManningsN.dbf dBASE Table L_Meetings.dbf dBASE Table L_Mtg_POC.dbf L Pol FHBM.dbf L_Source_Cit.dbf L_Summary_Discharges.dbf L_XS_Elev.dbf L XS Struct.dbf S Base Index.shp S BFE.shp S_FIRM_Pan.shp S Fld Haz Ar.shp S Fld Haz Ln.shp S Gen Struct.shp S Hydro Reach.shp S Label Ld.shp S Label_Pt.shp S_Nodes.shp S PLSS Ar.shp S Pol Ar.shp S_Profil_BasIn.shp S Stn Start.shp S_Subbasins.shp S Submittal Info.shp S_Trnsport_Ln.shp S_Wtr_Ln.shp S_XS.shp Study_Info.dbf

dBASE Table dBASE Table dBASE Table dBASE Table dBASE Table dBASE Table Shapefile dBASE Table



IOWA AND INCORPORATED AREAS						
COMMUNITY NAME	COMMUNITY NUMBER					
ACKWORTH, CITY OF	190945					
BEVINGTON, CITY OF	190273					
CARLISLE, CITY OF	190274					
CUMMING, CITY OF	190946					
DES MOINES, CITY OF	190227					
HARTFORD, CITY OF	190589					
INDIANOLA, CITY OF	190275					
LACONA, CITY OF	190752					
MARTENSDALE, CITY OF	190524					
MILO, CITY OF 1	190618					
NEW VIRGINIA, CITY OF 1	190787					
NORWALK, CITY OF	190631					
SANDYVILLE, CITY OF 1	190947					
SPRING HILL, CITY OF	190949					
ST. MARYS, CITY OF 1	190948					
WARREN COUNTY, UNINCORPORATED AREAS	190912					

¹No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified

REVISED: NOVEMBER 16, 2018 FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER 19181CV000C rsion Number 2.3













What will communities receive? Flood Risk Products



Knowing the Risk

If a community does not know or understand their risk, they may struggle to....

- effectively plan use of resources for natural hazards and potential disasters;
- implement effective hazard mitigation projects;
- effectively regulate current and future development without increasing risk; and/or
- effectively communicate about natural hazards to its residents about personal and community mitigation projects that can reduce long-term risk.







What will communities receive? Flood Risk Datasets to provide better interaction



• Flood Risk Assessment (Hazus)





Products & Delivery Items: Flood Depth and Analysis Grids

- Flood hazard data backbone for these product development
- Flood Depth and Water Surface Grids
 - Frequencies: 10%, 4%, 2%, 1% and 0.2%
- Water Surface Freeboard Grids
 - +1, +2 & + 3 feet over 1% water surface
- Percentage annual chance of flooding Grid
- Chance of flooding over the average mortgage (30-year) time period grid
- Flood Risk Assessment Analysis (HAZUS)
- Areas of mitigation interest (AOMI)







Flood Risk Database, Report and Map



Flood Risk Report



Flood Risk Map: DeKalb County, Georgia

			Estimated Potential Losses for Flood Event Scenarios									
	Total Inventory		10% (10-yr)		2% (50-yr)		1% (100-yr)		0.2% (500-yr)		Annualized (\$/yr)	
	Estimated Value	% of Total	Dollar Losses*	Loss Ratio ^{1.6}	Dollar Losses*	Loss Ratio ^{1,8}	Dollar Losses ⁸	Loss Ratio ^{3,4}	Dollar Losses ⁸	Loss Ratio ^{1,6}	Dollar Losses	Loss Ratio ^{1,8}
Residential Building/Contents	\$46,559,700,000	69%	\$194,500,000	0%	\$266,700,000	1%	\$284,600,000	1%	\$348,500,000	1%	\$24,850,000	0%
Commercial Building/Contents	\$13,669,500,000	20%	\$80,600,000	1%	\$101,200,000	1%	\$110,300,000	1%	\$156,800,000	1%	\$9,900,000	0%
Other Building/Contents	\$6,946,800,000	10%	\$41,700,000	1%	\$43,800,000	1%	\$43,600,000	1%	\$59,020,000	1%	\$4,740,000	0%
Total Building/Contents ²	\$67,176,000,000	100%	\$316,800,000	N/A	\$411,700,000	N/A	\$438,500,000	N/A	\$564,320,000	N/A	\$39,490,000	N/A
Business Disruption ⁵	N/A	N/A	\$0	N/A	\$0	N/A	\$0	N/A	\$0	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL ⁴	\$67,176,000,000	N/A	\$316,800,000	N/A	\$411,700,000	N/A	\$438,500,000	N/A	\$564,320,000	N/A	\$39,490,000	N/A

Dam Breach Analysis

- Up to 5 High Hazard Dams analyzed
- 14 Total Dams In Tompkins County
- Engineering analyses developed for FIRM will be leveraged
- Flood Inundation Maps will be developed









Contacts

FEMA Project Monitor

- Shudipto Rahman
- 202-702-4273
- shudipto.rahman@fema.dhs.gov

FEMA Outreach Coordinator

- Stephanie Gootman
- 202-802-3137
- stephanie.gootman@fema.dhs.gov

STARR II Project Manager

- Inger Sarappo
- 615-499-7157
- inger.sarappo@stantec.com

STARR II Regional Support Center Lead

- Curtis Smith
- 646-490-3929
- curtis.smith@stantec.com





Questions? Comments?



Thank you!

